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## 1 Overview

### 1.1 Key Issues

With the increased quota arising from the loss of a division, it is no surprise to find that all eleven existing divisions are projected to be below the average enrolment for a ten-division configuration, and nine of the eleven are outside the 3.5\% permitted variance from that average.

Of the two that are inside that tolerance, Wakefield is the obvious source of electors to top up the neighbouring rural divisions of Grey and Barker, and is therefore significantly transformed nonetheless.

The need to abolish a division also results in significant changes to surrounding divisions, and with the shortfalls in existing divisions quite evenly spread, the repercussions obviously extend beyond the divisions that take up the electors from the dissolved division.

### 1.2 ApPROACH

With communities of interest are generally best served by limiting the number of metro-rural hybrid divisions, attention was first focussed on the primarily rural divisions of Grey, Barker and Mayo in an attempt to find the best configuration for those divisions. Further discussion on this is found in section 2.1.

Finalising the boundary between the Divisions of Mayo and Kingston effectively set the boundaries of the latter division, so this was locked in next, before returning to the Division of Wakefield, which had been depleted to supplement the rural divisions.

Finding the best source of electors to bring Wakefield back into tolerance was one factor in identifying the Division of Sturt as the suggested option for abolition. With Wakefield replenished from Makin, the latter absorbs the northern part of Sturt, while Boothby and Adelaide take the southern and western parts respectively.

The remaining task was to rebalance the now-bloated Adelaide and Boothby with the underweight Port Adelaide and Hindmarsh. In this exercise, the minimalist option is suggested to be rejected in favour of a revised configuration that yields much more clearly focussed divisions.

### 1.3 Division Names

There are no new divisions requiring a name. While some of the divisions are significantly transformed, all are suggested to retain the name of the division from which a plurality of their electors originated.

While the loss of Charles Sturt from among the historical figures recognised by division names is regrettable, this is not among the criteria for setting boundaries.

Further, none of the surrounding divisions are strong candidates to assume the name. Boothby and Adelaide date to the original drawing of South Australian divisions in 1903. While Makin is more recent, its suggested configuration sees $63 \%$ of its projected electors drawn from the existing division of Makin.

If an opportunity or requirement ever arises to name or rename a division in South Australia, it is suggested that former Australian Democrats leader Janine Haines should be considered for the honour as the first woman to lead a Federal or State parliamentary party in Australia.

### 1.4 Overview Images



Figure 1.4-1: Suggested South Australia


Figure 1.4-2: Suggested Metro Divisions


Figure 1.4-3: Suggested Inner Metro

## 2 RURAL Divisions

### 2.1 Regional Strategy

The current divisions of Grey, Barker and Mayo are collectively projected to be 0.38 quotas short of the ten-division average. The rural LGAs that make up the northern part of the Division of Wakefield are the most obvious source of transferees to bring the rural divisions back into tolerance. This would allow Wakefield to transition from its current hybrid nature into a more urban-focused division.

A key consideration is whether the Town of Gawler should be among the LGAs transferred into a rural division, or whether it should stay in Wakefield. To some extent, the existing scenario is ideal - the town sits in a division that includes both the western Barossa Valley, smaller communities to the north for which Gawler is a regional centre, and part of metropolitan Adelaide where the commuter portion of its population work. Forced into a decision between a fully rural division (likely including most of the Barossa) and more urban-focussed Wakefield, the communities of interest arguments could go either way.

From an overall rural-urban numerical perspective, including Gawler in one of the rural divisions would be a good outcome and avoid the need for one of those divisions to extend further into an urban area elsewhere. However, many attempts to find a configuration of the three rural divisions to permit this did not yield a viable result. The main constraint was that while the other rural LGAs in Wakefield (together with the Mayo portion of the Barossa LGA) provide enough electors to bring both Grey and Barker within tolerance, neither then has the capacity to include Gawler.

Equally, scenarios that saw Mayo extending further into the Barossa and west to include Gawler, left Barker needing to gain instead electors from Mayo, with no obvious opportunities that did not badly affecting communities of interest elsewhere. Radical scenarios such as Barker regaining the Fleurieu Peninsula also did not work, as it would then need to give up either Murray Bridge or much of the Riverlands area to get back into the permitted range.

In the end, the suggested approach is to leave Gawler in Wakefield, along with some immediately surrounding areas from the Light and Barossa Valley LGAs. The inclusion of the surrounding areas leaves Barker and Grey at the lower end of the permitted range, but there is no merit in trying to future-proof a redistribution that may serve for three elections, at the expense of clear communities of interest for that period. This also leaves the Division of Mayo needing an infusion of electors from an urban division, and the options for this are discussed further in section 0.

### 2.2 Division of Grey

Opening projected enrolment: 102,612 (-16.39\% from average)
Comment: Grey clearly needs a large infusion of electors and the rural LGAs currently in the Division of Wakefield are the obvious candidate.

The only other plausible option would be for Grey to gain the eastern Riverlands area around Renmark, Berri, Loxton and Barmera from the Division of Barker. This option was examined as part of several scenarios for the rural divisions. While numerically feasible, it was rejected as being deleterious to both divisions. It would destroy the significant community of interest benefits from having essentially the whole ${ }^{1}$ of the SA section of the Murray River in one division, and add a significant new cluster of electors to a Division of Grey whose MP is already set a heroic task by the dimensions of the division.

Returning to the Wakefield option, transferring the LGAs of Clare and Gilbert Valleys, Adelaide Plains and the balance of Wakefield Regional Council brings the division within the permitted range and is the suggested solution.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Wakefield to Grey |  | Actual | Projected |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Lewiston - Two Wells | (whole) | 3,767 | 3,899 |
| Mallala | (whole) | 2,082 | 2,083 |
| Clare | (whole) | 2,978 | 2,972 |
| Gilbert Valley | (whole) | 3,544 | 3,604 |
| Goyder | (balance) | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Wakefield - Barunga West | (balance) | $\mathbf{3 , 4 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 1 9}$ |
| Wakefield to Grey Total |  | $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 0 8 4}$ |

Final projected enrolment: 118,696 (-3.29\% from average)

[^0]
## Maps:



Figure 2.2-1: Suggested Division of Grey


Figure 2.2-2: Suggested Division of Grey (southern portion)

### 2.3 Division of Barker

Opening projected enrolment: 108,383 (-11.69\% from average)
Comment: Barker needs to gain electors. Of the neighbouring divisions, the Division of Grey offers no realistic option apart from maybe the southern part of the Goyder LGA, but this would be counter-productive with Grey also needing to gain electors.

In terms of potential transfers from Mayo, the Mount Barker and Adelaide Hills LGAs are clearly better-suited in Mayo while it retains its existing focus.

The possibility of a much larger reconfiguration of rural divisions in which Barker would gain the Alexandrina LGA, the Fleurieu Peninsula and Kangaroo Island was also considered, but rejected as it would put Barker over-quota to the extent that it would need to cede not just its Barossa Valley territory, but some part of its Murray River identity - likely either Murray Bridge (to Mayo) or the eastern Riverlands (to Grey).

The best option is suggested to be that Barker take up most of the remainder of the Barossa LGA and also most of the Light LGA. The suggested exception in each case relates to areas surrounding Gawler that have strong links to that town, despite sitting outside the Town of Gawler boundaries.

While it may be argued that the far-flung Barker is not the perfect division for the Barossa Valley LGA, getting the majority of the LGA into one division is a big improvement on the current three-division split, and the alternative of moving it into Mayo would require Barker to gain electors from a less suitable area.

Suggested Transfers:

| Mayo to Barker |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barossa - Angaston | (portion currently in Mayo) | 1,299 | 1,316 |
| Lyndoch | (partial SA1 currently in Mayo) | 6 | 6 |
| Mayo to Barker Total |  | 1,305 | 1,322 |
| Wakefield to Barker |  | Actual | Projected |
| Barossa - Angaston | (partial SA1 currently in Wakefield) | 2 | 2 |
| Light | (whole) | 6,245 | 6,720 |
| Lyndoch | (portion currently in Wakefield) | 4,182 | 4,443 |
| Nuriootpa | (balance) | 289 | 309 |
| Wakefield to Barker Total |  | 10,718 | 11,474 |

Final projected enrolment: 121,179 (-1.26\% from average)

Maps:


Figure 2.3-1: Suggested Division of Barker


Figure 2.3-2: Suggested Division of Barker (Barossa-Light region)

### 2.4 Division of Mayo

Opening projected enrolment: 110,072 (-10.31\% from average)
Comment: Mayo is projected to be well below the permitted enrolment range and needs to gain electors.

The possibility of Mayo moving further into the Barossa Valley and even Gawler was discussed and rejected in sections 2.1 and 2.3 primarily due to the lack of options then remaining to bring Barker into tolerance. Mayo therefore need to gain electors from Kingston, Boothby, Sturt, Makin or the remainder of Wakefield.

While several of those divisions contain areas that would not be significantly out-ofplace in a Hills-focussed Mayo, the current boundary between Mayo and the latter four divisions is largely defined by LGA boundaries and transfers from those divisions would therefore involve splitting or further splitting communities of interest represented by those LGAs.

Notwithstanding the above, some consideration was given to extending Mayo into the Adelaide Hills portion of the Mitcham LGA (areas currently in Boothby), but in addition to splitting that LGA, the limited size of the transfer required would also split similar interests within the LGA.

The solution for Mayo needs to be integrated with a solution for the Division of Kingston, which is also in need of electors. As such, there is an element of competition between the divisions for the Boothby electors that are in the Onkaparinga LGA and therefore an obvious transfer target for two divisions that already have a strong presence in that LGA.

From a numerical perspective, there is just barely enough projected electors in this area (the portion of the Onkaparinga LGA currently in Boothby) to bring both Mayo and Kingston inside the lower limit for projected enrolment. Given the benefit of a strong LGA boundary and avoiding the need for Kingston to extend north past the Linwood Quarry, it is suggested that juggling the limited numbers available from Boothby (within the Onkaparinga LGA only) is the preferred approach.

The remaining task is to set the best possible boundary between Mayo and Kingston. It is suggested that this is achieved by having Kingston gain the majority of the transferees from Boothby, while Mayo would gain Aldinga Beach from Kingston.

The suggestion of moving Aldinga Beach out of Kingston is not made lightly, as it clearly shares common interests with the coastal suburbs to the north. However, it is not without links to Wilunga and McLaren Vale and the latest redistribution of South Australian House of Assembly districts includes Aldinga Beach in the District of Mawson, along with areas to the south (Yankalilla LGA and Kangaroo Island) and east (Wilunga and McLaren Vale) that are already in the Division of Mayo.

Transferring Aldinga Beach into Mayo allows Kingston to gain nearly all of the transferees from Boothby and avoids the need for an extended and arbitrary boundary through Flagstaff Hill or Aberfoyle Park.

A small change to the Mayo boundary in the Aberfoyle Park SA2 is suggested to balance both divisions. If considered less suitable than the current boundary, it would not be difficult to find another opportunity to tweak the boundary for similar result.

Alternatively, if the Aldinga Beach transfer is considered unacceptable, the suggested boundary of the combined Mayo-Kingston should still be strongly considered, with an alternative internal boundary between the two divisions.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Boothby to Mayo |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coromandel Valley | (SA1 4107608 and the Boothby portions |  |  |
|  | of SA1s 4107606, 4107607 and 4107609) | 910 | 918 |
| Flagstaff Hill | (SA1 4107715) | 228 | 229 |
| Boothby to Mayo Total |  | 1,138 | 1,147 |
| Kingston to Mayo |  | Actual | Projected |
| Aldinga | (balance) | 10,616 | 11,149 |
| Kingston to Mayo Total |  | 10,616 | 11,149 |
| Mayo to Barker |  | Actual | Projected |
| Barossa - Angaston | (portion currently in Mayo) | 1,299 | 1,316 |
| Lyndoch | (partial SA1 currently in Mayo) | 6 | 6 |
| Mayo to Barker Total |  | 1,305 | 1,322 |
| Mayo to Kingston |  | Actual | Projected |
| Happy Valley | (portion currently in Mayo) | 1,687 | 1,662 |
| Mayo to Kingston Total |  | 1,687 | 1,662 |

Final projected enrolment: 119,384 (-2.73\% from average)

Maps:


Figure 2.4-1: Suggested Division of Mayo


Figure 2.4-2: Suggested Division of Mayo (Kingston boundary)

## 3 City Divisions

### 3.1 Regional Strategy

The framework for the city divisions is substantially established by the decision about which division to abolish. However even once this decision was made, there was a choice available between minimalist adjustments to other divisions and a somewhat more substantial reorganisation to maximise communities of interest.

The Division of Kingston is addressed extensively above in section 2.4, due to its extended border with the Division of Mayo. It is therefore documented first, and briefly, below.

The task for the remaining divisions was commenced by looking at the best option to replenish the Division of Wakefield after its rural LGAs were transferred to Barker and Grey, and the inter-linked decision on which division should be abolished. The decisions are linked because replenishing Wakefield primarily from Port Adelaide would tend to favour abolishing a coastal division, while dipping into Makin would favour abolishing a division on that side of the city.

The Wakefield angle is discussed further in section 3.3, with a conclusion in favour of drawing electors from the Division of Makin. This coincided with other factors that favoured abolishing a division to the east of the city. These include the fact that there is already a bigger shortfall on that side, with Makin (pre-transfer to Wakefield) and Sturt collectively 0.24 quotas short of the projected average enrolment, while Port Adelaide and Hindmarsh are only 0.09 quotas short. Further, the current Division of Sturt includes parts of several LGAs and lends itself to dismemberment primarily along LGA boundaries, such that communities of interest are maintained, or in the case of LGAs that are re-united, improved.

It is therefore suggested that electors in the north of the Division of Makin should be transferred to Wakefield, with Makin then annexing the northern part of Sturt, which would be the division abolished.

As noted above, there was still a range of approaches available to finalising the boundaries of the divisions of Boothby, Adelaide, Hindmarsh and Port Adelaide. For reasons discussed in section 3.5, it is suggested to go beyond a simple flow-on approach whereby Boothby (having been further depleted from a below quota starting position by a transfer to Kingston) would take the southern part of Sturt and then shed any resulting excess to Hindmarsh.

Instead it is suggested that the Division of Adelaide also cede electors to Boothby (allowing that division to retreat from the coast), and gain them from Port Adelaide, which in turn is then able to reclaim West Lakes from Hindmarsh. The result is a Division of Adelaide that has been shifted slightly north, but is surrounded by four coherent and focussed divisions.

### 3.2 Division of Kingston

Opening projected enrolment: 110,793 (-9.73\% from average)
Comment: As discussed in section 3.1, outcomes for the Division of Kingston were considered alongside the Division of Mayo in section 2.4.

Among the benefits of the suggested configuration is that the Onkaparinga LGA is now split between only two divisions, rather than the previous three. As noted in section 2.4, this outcome is robust to alternative Kingston-Mayo boundaries, provided that between them the two divisions gain the Onkaparinga portion of Boothby.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Boothby to Kingston |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aberfoyle Park | (portion currently in Boothby) | 8,777 | 8,614 |
| Flagstaff Hill | (all except SA1 4107715) | 7,580 | 7,580 |
| Happy Valley | (portion currently in Boothby) | 1,455 | 1,452 |
| Happy Valley Reservoir | (balance) | - | - |
| Boothby to Kingston Total |  | 17,812 | 17,646 |
| Mayo to Kingston |  | Actual | Projected |
| Happy Valley | (portion currently in Mayo) | 1,687 | 1,662 |
| Mayo to Kingston Total |  | 1,687 | 1,662 |
| Kingston to Mayo |  | Actual | Projected |
| Aldinga | (balance) | 10,616 | 11,149 |
| Kingston to Mayo Total |  | 10,616 | 11,149 |

Final projected enrolment: 118,952 (-3.08\% from average)

## Maps:



Figure 3.2-1: Suggested Division of Kingston

### 3.3 Division of Wakefield

Opening projected enrolment: 121,533 (-0.98\% from average)
Comment: Wakefield actually enters this process as one of only two divisions within the permitted ranges for projected enrolments. However, as a hybrid city-rural division, it is inevitable that its rural LGAs will end up reinforcing an underweight rural division. Wakefield therefore requires an influx of electors from either Port Adelaide, Makin, or a combination of the two.

It is suggested that the transfer come entirety from the Division of Makin. The two key reasons for this are the strong link between Salisbury and Elizabeth areas along Main North Rd, and the fact that in taking the alternative transfer from Port Adelaide, Wakefield would not have the capacity to take all of the northern part of that division. While it could receive the portion north of the Little Para River, it could only take part of the portion south of the river and west of the railway. The remainder of that bloc would be left somewhat isolated, regardless of whether it stayed in Port Adelaide or was transferred to Makin.

The suggested outcome leaves the northern part of Port Adelaide intact, with the railway providing a strong boundary. Drawing from Makin also coincides with an easier path to eliminating a division, for the reasons outlined in section 3.1.

In addition to expanding Wakefield's existing toehold in Salisbury to include Salisbury East, it is suggested that the remainder of the required transfer comprise the Greenwith, Golden Grove and Surrey Downs localities in the north of the Tea Tree Gully LGA. It is suggested that these areas have sufficient links to Salisbury and common interests with some of the slightly later (1990s versus late 1980s) developments in the Playford LGA to make them a superior inclusion in Wakefield as compared to extending Wakefield west of the railway (drawing from the Division of Port Adelaide), or further south beyond Kings Rd/McIntyre Rd in the Salisbury LGA.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Makin to Wakefield |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adelaide Hills | (partial SA1 currently in Makin) | - | - |
| One Tree Hill | (partial SA1 currently in Makin) | 7 | 7 |
| Para Hills | (SA1s north-east of McIntyre Rd) | 2,490 | 2,375 |
| Salisbury | (portion currently in Makin) | 41 | 46 |
| Salisbury East | (balance) | 10,305 | 10,461 |
| Golden Grove | (whole) | 7,805 | 8,231 |
| Greenwith | (whole) | 6,626 | 6,599 |
| Redwood Park | (SA1 4105422) | 403 | 393 |
| Makin to Wakefield Total |  | 27,677 | 28,112 |
| Wakefield to Barker |  | Actual | Projected |
| Barossa - Angaston | (partial SA1 currently in Wakefield) | 2 | 2 |
| Light | (whole) | 6,245 | 6,720 |
| Lyndoch | (portion currently in Wakefield) | 4,182 | 4,443 |
| Nuriootpa | (balance) | 289 | 309 |
| Wakefield to Barker Total |  | 10,718 | 11,474 |


| Wakefield to Grey |  | $\underline{\text { Actual }}$ | Projected |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Lewiston - Two Wells | (whole) | 3,767 | 3,899 |
| Mallala | (whole) | 2,082 | 2,083 |
| Clare | (whole) | 2,978 | 2,972 |
| Gilbert Valley | (whole) | 3,544 | 3,604 |
| Goyder | (balance) | 7 | 7 |
| Wakefield - Barunga West | (balance) | 3,484 | 3,519 |
| Wakefield to Grey Total |  | $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 0 8 4}$ |

Final projected enrolment: 122,087 (-0.52\% from average)
Maps:


Figure 3.3-1: Suggested Division of Wakefield

### 3.4 Division of Makin

Opening projected enrolment: 109,253 (-10.98\% from average)
Comment: Having started well below quota and losing its northmost parts to replenish the Division of Wakefield, it is suggested that Makin be the recipient of the largest portion of the to-be-abolished Division of Sturt.

As noted in section 3.1, Sturt includes significant parts of six LGAs ${ }^{2}$. It is suggested that the bulk of two of them be transferred into Makin. The transfer would comprise the remainder of the southern part of the Tea Tree Gully LGA (with an exception discussed below) and the whole of the Campbelltown LGA.

The minor exception in relation to the Tea Tree Gully LGA transfer is an area to the west of Hope Valley Reservoir, which it is suggested may have stronger links to adjacent areas that fall into the Port Adelaide Enfield LGA and are proposed to be transferred to the Division of Adelaide.

It is also suggested that a handful of current Makin SA1s that are north of Grand Junction Rd, but south of both the Port Adelaide Enfield-Salisbury LGA boundary and Dry Creek which it follows at that point, be transferred to the Division of Adelaide. Two further SA1s that are south of that boundary but mainly north of Dry Creek are suggested to stay in Makin.

The resulting suggested division looks slightly awkward, but in fact has the majority of its boundaries neatly defined by the boundaries of the three LGAs into which it extends.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Sturt to Makin |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athelstone | (whole) | 7,123 | 6,998 |
| Paradise - Newton | (whole) | 13,335 | 13,544 |
| Rostrevor - Magill | (portion currently in Sturt) | 14,484 | 14,857 |
| Highbury - Dernancourt | (all except SA1 4105112) | 7,651 | 7,640 |
| Hope Valley - Modbury | (balance except SA1s west of the busway and south of Grand Junction Rd) | 2,830 | 2,886 |
| Sturt to Makin Total |  | 45,423 | 45,925 |
| Makin to Adelaide |  | Actual | Projected |
| Enfield - Blair Athol | (portion currently in Makin) | 419 | 449 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles | (SA1s 4103702, 4103716, 4103717, |  |  |
| Plains | 4103734, 4103738, 4103739, 4103749) | 1,809 | 1,977 |
| Makin to Adelaide Total |  | 2,228 | 2,426 |

[^1]
## Makin to Wakefield

Adelaide Hills
One Tree Hill
Para Hills
Salisbury
Salisbury East
Golden Grove
Greenwith
Redwood Park
Makin to Wakefield Total

| (partial SA1 currently in Makin) | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (partial SA1 currently in Makin) | 7 | 7 |
| (SA1s north-east of McIntyre Rd) | 2,490 | 2,375 |
| (portion currently in Makin) | 41 | 46 |
| (balance) | 10,305 | 10,461 |
| (whole) | 7,805 | 8,231 |
| (whole) | 6,626 | 6,599 |
| (SA1 4105422) | 403 | 393 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 1 1 2}$ |

Final projected enrolment: 124,640 (+1.56\% from average)
Maps:


Figure 3.4-1: Suggested Division of Makin

### 3.5 Division of Boothby

Opening projected enrolment: 109,835 (-10.51\% from average)
Comment: Boothby begins the redistribution process significantly below quota and has been suggested as the source of a substantial transfer to the Division of Kingsley. It is therefore in a good position to accept electors from the to-be-abolished Division of Sturt, without generating a huge overflow into other divisions.

It is suggested that Boothby is the obvious recipient of the Burnside and Unley LGA components of Sturt. In fact, for the Burnside LGA, it is the only realistic recipient if the Division of Adelaide is not to be extended east to the hills.

However, this creates two concerns about the structure of the division. Firstly, the connection between the transferred areas and the existing division comprises more Mount Osmond and less suburbia than might be hoped. Secondly, while Boothby would now be within the permitted enrolment range, it would be an awkward division stretching from coastal Marino to foothills Skye.

It is therefore suggested that the divisions of Boothby, Hindmarsh, Port Adelaide and Adelaide be re-organised so that only Port Adelaide and Hindmarsh front the coast, while Boothby becomes a much more coherent division comprising primarily the Burnside, Unley and Mitcham LGAs. This configuration also allows Port Adelaide to regain the West Lakes-Grange area after transferring electors to the Division of Adelaide.

For Boothby, this suggestion involves gaining the majority of Unley LGA from the Division of Adelaide, in addition to the portion gained from Sturt. It also swaps some territory with Hindmarsh, ceding its coastal connection but gaining the part of Edwardstown east of Marion Rd.

The result is a coherent western border along Marion Rd, and then the tramway and the railway to meet the Adelaide-Unley LGA boundary. The remainder of the division's suggested boundaries are defined by LGA boundaries, with the exception of where a pocket of the Norwood Payneham and St Peters LGA intrudes into the Burnside LGA.

Suggested Transfers:

| Adelaide to Boothby |  | $\frac{\text { Actual }}{4,723}$ | $\frac{\text { Projected }}{4,807}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Toorak Gardens | (portion currently in Adelaide) |  |  |
| Goodwood - Millswood | (all except SA1s north of the tram line <br> and west of the train line) | 11,332 | 11,394 |
|  | (portion currently in Adelaide) | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 5 9}$ | 10,887 |
| Unley - Parkside |  | $\mathbf{2 6 , 8 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 8 8}$ |


| Hindmarsh to Boothby |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Edwardstown | (whole) | 9,644 | 10,037 |
| Hindmarsh to Boothby Total |  | 9,644 | 10,037 |
| Sturt to Boothby |  | Actual | Projected |
| Burnside - Wattle Park | (whole) | 13,618 | 13,790 |
| Glenside - Beaumont | (whole) | 6,867 | 7,238 |
| Toorak Gardens | (portion currently in Sturt) | 6,603 | 6,721 |
| Norwood (SA) | (portion currently in Sturt) | 2,024 | 2,078 |
| Unley - Parkside | (portion currently in Sturt) | 3,782 | 3,816 |
| Belair | (partial SA1 currently in Sturt) | - | - |
| Sturt to Boothby Total |  | 32,894 | 33,643 |
| Boothby to Hindmarsh |  | Actual | Projected |
| Brighton (SA) | (whole) | 10,810 | 10,841 |
| Glenelg (SA) | (balance) | 1,620 | 1,613 |
| Hallett Cove | (partial SA1 currently in Boothby) | 63 | 63 |
| Marino - Seaview Downs | (portion currently in Boothby) | 7,128 | 7,180 |
| Mitchell Park | (SA1s west of Marion Rd) | 4,604 | 4,749 |
| Warradale | (whole) | 10,582 | 11,541 |
| Boothby to Hindmarsh Total |  | 34,807 | 35,987 |
| Boothby to Kingston |  | Actual | Projected |
| Aberfoyle Park | (portion currently in Boothby) | 8,777 | 8,614 |
| Flagstaff Hill | (all except SA1 4107715) | 7,580 | 7,580 |
| Happy Valley | (portion currently in Boothby) | 1,455 | 1,452 |
| Happy Valley Reservoir | (balance) | - | - |
| Boothby to Kingston Total |  | 17,812 | 17,646 |
| Boothby to Mayo |  | Actual | Projected |
| Coromandel Valley | (SA1 4107608 and the Boothby portions |  |  |
|  | of SA1s 4107606, 4107607 and 4107609) | 910 | 918 |
| Flagstaff Hill | (SA1 4107715) | 228 | 229 |
| Boothby to Mayo Total |  | 1,138 | 1,147 |

Final projected enrolment: 125,823 (+2.52\% from average)

Maps:


Figure 3.5-1: Suggested Division of Boothby

### 3.6 Division of Hindmarsh

Opening projected enrolment: 114,716 (-6.53\% from average)
Comment: Hindmarsh needs only to gain a few thousand projected electors to be within the acceptable range. However, as part of the reconfiguration discussed in section 3.5, it is suggested that Hindmarsh be substantially modified to allow it to take up the coastal portion of the current Division of Boothby.

The coastal strip suggested to be transferred from Boothby includes the remainder of the Holdfast Bay LGA (uniting that LGA in a single division) and the portion of the Marion LGA west of Marion Rd. As part of establishing a coherent boundary with Boothby, it is suggested that part of Edwardstown that is east of Marion Rd be transferred in the opposite direction to establish Marion Rd as the boundary.

To make room for this net acquisition it is suggested that the West Lakes and SeatonGrange SA2s be returned to the Division of Port Adelaide from which they were transferred, commencing in 2003. This transfer is suggested to improve communities of interest in both divisions.

Finally, it is suggested that the West Torrens LGA also be united in Hindmarsh by transferring the electors in the east of the LGA that are currently in the Division of Adelaide.

The resulting division is a coherent coast-focussed bloc within the Adelaide metropolitan area. It includes two complete LGAs that were previously split between divisions and no longer includes an arbitrary northward extension into West Lakes.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Adelaide to Hindmarsh |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodwood - Millswood | (SA1s north of the tram line and west of |  |  |
|  | the train line) | 1,521 | 1,560 |
| Plympton | (balance) | 1,070 | 1,122 |
| Richmond (SA) | (balance) | 2,931 | 2,961 |
| Adelaide to Hindmarsh Total |  | 5,522 | 5,643 |
| Boothby to Hindmarsh |  | Actual | Projected |
| Brighton (SA) | (whole) | 10,810 | 10,841 |
| Glenelg (SA) | (balance) | 1,620 | 1,613 |
| Hallett Cove | (partial SA1 currently in Boothby) | 63 | 63 |
| Marino - Seaview Downs | (portion currently in Boothby) | 7,128 | 7,180 |
| Mitchell Park | (SA1s west of Marion Rd) | 4,604 | 4,749 |
| Warradale | (whole) | 10,582 | 11,541 |
| Boothby to Hindmarsh Total |  | 34,807 | 35,987 |


| Hindmarsh to Boothby |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Edwardstown | (whole) | 9,644 | 10,037 |
| Hindmarsh to Boothby Total |  | 9,644 | 10,037 |
| Hindmarsh to Port Adelaide |  | Actual | Projected |
| Seaton - Grange | (balance) | 8,248 | 8,338 |
| West Lakes | (whole) | 11,749 | 11,643 |
| Hindmarsh to Port Adelaide | otal | 19,997 | 19,981 |

Final projected enrolment: 126,328 (+2.93\% from average)
Maps:


Figure 3.6-1: Proposed Division of Hindmarsh

### 3.7 Division of Port Adelaide

Opening projected enrolment: 119,176 (-2.90\% from average)
Comment: Port Adelaide is actually within the acceptable range for projected enrolments, and with the suggestion to bolster Wakefield entirely from the Division of Makin, could be left entirely alone or be the recipient of a small transfer.

However, as part of the reconfiguration to create a viable "ring" of four divisions around the Division of Adelaide from the previous five divisions, it is suggested that Port Adelaide undergo a slightly larger change, regaining the West Lakes-Grange area that was initially transferred in 2003 (with an additional slice taken in 2010) and ceding some suburbs in the east to the Division of Adelaide.

The initial transfer of West Lakes into the Division of Hindmarsh was reluctantly made in $2003^{3}$ and its reversal should be welcome. Meanwhile the areas proposed to be transferred to the Division of Adelaide should not be disadvantaged by the change. The northern part of the transfer aligns that part of the Adelaide-Port Adelaide boundary with an LGA boundary, while the southern part comprises areas similar to those already in Adelaide.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Hindmarsh to Port Adelaide |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seaton - Grange | (balance) | 8,248 | 8,338 |
| West Lakes | (whole) | 11,749 | 11,643 |
| Hindmarsh to Port Adelaide Total |  | 19,997 | 19,981 |
| Port Adelaide to Adelaide |  | Actual | Projected |
| Enfield - Blair Athol | (SA14103648) | 2 | 2 |
| Hindmarsh - Brompton | (portion currently in Port Adelaide) | 5,678 | 5,631 |
| Woodville - Cheltenham | (SA1s 4109701, 4109702, 4109728, |  |  |
|  | 4109729) | 1,220 | 1,254 |
| The Parks | (balance except SA1s north of Grand |  |  |
|  | Junction Rd) | 7,472 | 7,489 |
| Port Adelaide to Adelaide Total |  | 14,372 | 14,376 |

Final projected enrolment: 124,781 (+1.67\% from average)

[^2]Maps:


Figure 3.7-1: Suggested Division of Port Adelaide

### 3.8 Division of Adelaide

Opening projected enrolment: 114,268 (-6.90\% from average)
Comment: It is acknowledged that the suggested changes to the Division of Adelaide have been driven largely by the requirements of other divisions. Certainly, there was no initial agenda that required the division to expand east and west, while ceding its southern portion.

However, as much as its suggested new profile might be unkindly said to resemble a Rorschach blot, there is a good case that the division has also been strengthened. Most notably, more of the division's boundaries are proposed to follow LGA boundaries, with significant improvements including uniting the eastern part of the Port Adelaide Enfield LGA and the majority of the Norwood Payneham and St Peters LGA within the division. The boundary with the Division of Hindmarsh is now also suggested to follow the Adelaide-West Torrens LGA boundary.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Makin to Adelaide |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enfield - Blair Athol | (portion currently in Makin) | 419 | 449 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles | (SA1s 4103702, 4103716, 4103717, |  |  |
| Plains | 4103734, 4103738, 4103739, 4103749) | 1,809 | 1,977 |
| Makin to Adelaide Total |  | 2,228 | 2,426 |
| Port Adelaide to Adelaide |  | Actual | Projected |
| Enfield - Blair Athol | (SA1 4103648) | 2 | 2 |
| Hindmarsh - Brompton | (portion currently in Port Adelaide) | 5,678 | 5,631 |
| Woodville - Cheltenham | (SA1s 4109701, 4109702, 4109728, 4109729) | 1,220 | 1,254 |
| The Parks | (balance except SA1s north of Grand |  |  |
|  | Junction Rd) | 7,472 | 7,489 |
| Port Adelaide to Adelaide To |  | 14,372 | 14,376 |
| Sturt to Adelaide |  | Actual | Projected |
| Payneham - Felixstow | (balance) | 8,005 | 8,030 |
| St Peters - Marden | (balance) | 1,567 | 1,534 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles |  |  |  |
| Plains | (portion currently in Sturt) | 4,938 | 5,163 |
| Windsor Gardens | (balance) | 9,638 | 10,098 |
| Highbury - Dernancourt | (SA1 4105112) | 234 | 244 |
| Hope Valley - Modbury | (SA1s west of the busway and south of Grand Junction Rd) | 2,028 | 2,032 |
| Sturt to Adelaide Total |  | 26,410 | 27,101 |


| Adelaide to Boothby |  | Actual | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toorak Gardens | (portion currently in Adelaide) | 4,723 | 4,807 |
| Goodwood - Millswood | (all except SA1s north of the tram line |  |  |
|  | and west of the train line) | 11,332 | 11,394 |
| Unley - Parkside | (portion currently in Adelaide) | 10,759 | 10,887 |
| Adelaide to Boothby Total |  | 26,814 | 27,088 |
| Adelaide to Hindmarsh |  | Actual | Projected |
| Goodwood - Millswood | (SA1s north of the tram line and west of |  |  |
|  | the train line) | 1,521 | 1,560 |
| Plympton | (balance) | 1,070 | 1,122 |
| Richmond (SA) | (balance) | 2,931 | 2,961 |
| Adelaide to Hindmarsh Total |  | 5,522 | 5,643 |

Final projected enrolment: 125,440 (+2.21\% from average)

## Maps:



Figure 3.8-1: Suggested Division of Adelaide

### 3.9 Division of Sturt

Opening projected enrolment: 106,669 (-13.09\% from average)
Comment: The suggestion to abolish the Division of Sturt arose for the reasons discussed in section 3.1.

As one of the most underweight divisions in the state, sitting between two other underweight divisions that both had claims on their electors from their neighbours, Sturt was always going to be on the shortlist. The fact that it's composition lends itself to a neat dismemberment sealed its place at the top of that list.

With some minor exceptions, the relevant dispositions comprise the Campbelltown LGA and Sturt portion of the Tea Tree Gully LGA being transferred to Makin, the division's share of the Port Adelaide Enfield LGA and the Norwood Payneham and St Peters LGA being transferred to Adelaide, and the Burnside LGA and a portion of the Unley LGA being transferred to Boothby.

## Suggested Transfers:

| Sturt to Adelaide | Actual | Projected |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Payneham - Felixstow | (balance) | 8,005 | 8,030 |
| St Peters - Marden | (balance) | 1,567 | 1,534 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles |  |  |  |
| Plains | (portion currently in Sturt) | 4,938 | 5,163 |
| Windsor Gardens | (balance) | 9,638 | 10,098 |
| Highbury - Dernancourt | (SA1 4105112) | 234 | 244 |
| Hope Valley - Modbury | (SA1s west of the busway and south of |  |  |
|  | Grand Junction Rd) | 2,028 | 2,032 |
| Sturt to Adelaide Total |  | $\mathbf{2 6 , 4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 1 0 1}$ |
| Sturt to Boothby |  | 13,618 | $\mathbf{1 3 , 7 9 0}$ |
| Burnside - Wattle Park | (whole) | 6,867 | $\mathbf{7 , 2 3 8}$ |
| Glenside - Beaumont | (whole) | 6,603 | 6,721 |
| Toorak Gardens | (portion currently in Sturt) | 2,024 | 2,078 |
| Norwood (SA) | (portion currently in Sturt) | 3,782 | 3,816 |
| Unley - Parkside | (portion currently in Sturt) | - | - |
| Belair | (partial SA1 currently in Sturt) | $\mathbf{3 2 , 8 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 6 4 3}$ |


| Sturt to Makin |  | $\frac{\text { Actual }}{}$ | Projected |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Athelstone | (whole) | 7,123 | 6,998 |
| Paradise - Newton | (whole) | 13,335 | 13,544 |
| Rostrevor - Magill | (portion currently in Sturt) | 14,484 | 14,857 |
| Highbury - Dernancourt | (all except SA1 4105112) | 7,651 | 7,640 |
| Hope Valley - Modbury | (balance except SA1s west of the |  |  |
|  | busway and south of Grand Junction Rd) | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 6}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 5 , 4 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 9 2 5}$ |

Final projected enrolment: Nil (division abolished)
Maps:


Figure 3.9-1: Suggested Disposition of the Division of Sturt (red outline)

## 4 Net Transfers by Division

| Division | Unadjusted <br> Projection |  |  |  |  |  | Inflows | Outflows |  |  |  |  | Adjusted <br> Projection | Variance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adelaide | 114,268 | 43,903 | $(32,731)$ | 125,440 | $2.21 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barker | 108,383 | 12,796 |  | 121,179 | $-1.26 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boothby | 109,835 | 70,768 | $(54,780)$ | 125,823 | $2.52 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grey | 102,612 | 16,084 |  | 118,696 | $-3.29 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindmarsh | 114,716 | 41,630 | $(30,018)$ | 126,328 | $2.93 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 110,793 | 19,308 | $(11,149)$ | 118,952 | $-3.08 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Makin | 109,253 | 45,925 | $(30,538)$ | 124,640 | $1.56 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mayo | 110,072 | 12,296 | $(2,984)$ | 119,384 | $-2.73 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port Adelaide | 119,176 | 19,981 | $(14,376)$ | 124,781 | $1.67 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sturt | 106,669 |  | $(106,669)$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wakefield | 121,533 | 28,112 | $(27,558)$ | 122,087 | $-0.52 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1,227,310$ | 310,803 | $(310,803)$ | $1,227,310$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix A - List of Transferred SA1s

Note - partial SA1s have had a letter included as part of the name, taken from the cd field in the spatial data available from the AEC.

|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Makin to Wakefi |  |  |  |
| Adelaide Hills |  |  |  |
| 4100311B |  | - | - |
| Adelaide Hills | Total | - | - |
| One Tree Hill |  |  |  |
| 4103304B |  | 7 | 7 |
| One Tree Hill | Total | 7 | 7 |
| Para Hills |  |  |  |
| 4104107 |  | 267 | 267 |
| 4104123 |  | 320 | 310 |
| 4104124 |  | 389 | 367 |
| 4104127 |  | 301 | 266 |
| 4104128 |  | 183 | 182 |
| 4104129 |  | 275 | 265 |
| 4104130 |  | 357 | 350 |
| 4104134 |  | 172 | 157 |
| 4104135 |  | - | - |
| 4104136 |  | 226 | 211 |
| Para Hills | Total | 2,490 | 2,375 |
| Salisbury |  |  |  |
| 4104628B |  | 39 | 43 |
| 4104630 |  | 2 | 3 |
| Salisbury | Total | 41 | 46 |
| Salisbury East |  |  |  |
| 4104701 |  | 192 | 180 |
| 4104702 |  | 188 | 193 |
| 4104703 |  | 254 | 252 |
| 4104704 |  | 327 | 342 |
| 4104705 |  | 393 | 410 |
| 4104706 |  | 269 | 283 |
| 4104707 |  | 377 | 380 |
| 4104708 |  | 385 | 382 |
| 4104709 |  | 283 | 281 |
| 4104710 |  | 208 | 205 |
| 4104711 |  | 318 | 335 |
| 4104712 |  | 339 | 338 |
| 4104713 |  | 323 | 365 |
| 4104714 |  | 355 | 343 |
| 4104715 |  | 195 | 193 |


|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4104716 |  | - - | - - |
| 4104717 |  | 242 | 233 |
| 4104718 |  | 329 | 331 |
| 4104719 |  | 257 | 256 |
| 4104720 |  | 322 | 332 |
| 4104721 |  | 281 | 291 |
| 4104722 |  | 356 | 355 |
| 4104723 |  | 316 | 328 |
| 4104724 |  | 404 | 399 |
| 4104725 |  | 230 | 231 |
| 4104726 |  | 209 | 213 |
| 4104727 |  | 187 | 182 |
| 4104734 |  | 229 | 235 |
| 4104735 |  | 233 | 232 |
| 4104736 |  | 226 | 223 |
| 4104737 |  | 445 | 461 |
| 4104738 |  | 356 | 364 |
| 4104739 |  | 434 | 453 |
| 4104740 |  | 220 | 217 |
| 4104741 |  | 310 | 312 |
| 4104744 |  | 313 | 331 |
| Salisbury East | Total | 10,305 | 10,461 |
| Golden Grove |  |  |  |
| 4104901 |  | 211 | 206 |
| 4104902 |  | 153 | 167 |
| 4104903 |  | 214 | 215 |
| 4104904 |  | 382 | 395 |
| 4104905 |  | 371 | 368 |
| 4104906 |  | 217 | 213 |
| 4104907 |  | 303 | 332 |
| 4104908 |  | 248 | 242 |
| 4104909 |  | 226 | 225 |
| 4104910 |  | 257 | 256 |
| 4104911 |  | 278 | 275 |
| 4104912 |  | 266 | 259 |
| 4104913 |  | 212 | 209 |
| 4104914 |  | - | - |
| 4104915 |  | 355 | 354 |
| 4104916 |  | 413 | 412 |
| 4104917 |  | 388 | 394 |
| 4104918 |  | 296 | 313 |
| 4104919 |  | 226 | 234 |
| 4104920 |  | 283 | 282 |
| 4104921 |  | 302 | 304 |
| 4104922 |  | 365 | 458 |
| 4104923 |  | 1,365 | 1,642 |


|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4104924 |  | 211 | 210 |
| 4104925 |  | 263 | 266 |
| Golden Grove | Total | 7,805 | 8,231 |
| Greenwith |  |  |  |
| 4105001 |  | 203 | 204 |
| 4105002 |  | 373 | 370 |
| 4105003 |  | 236 | 235 |
| 4105004 |  | 305 | 305 |
| 4105005 |  | 305 | 306 |
| 4105006 |  | 233 | 230 |
| 4105007 |  | 214 | 212 |
| 4105008 |  | 384 | 378 |
| 4105009 |  | 390 | 391 |
| 4105010 |  | 276 | 273 |
| 4105011 |  | 312 | 313 |
| 4105012 |  | 345 | 338 |
| 4105013 |  | 216 | 217 |
| 4105014 |  | 234 | 235 |
| 4105015 |  | 324 | 318 |
| 4105016 |  | 457 | 458 |
| 4105017 |  | 356 | 369 |
| 4105018 |  | 264 | 259 |
| 4105019 |  | 278 | 272 |
| 4105020 |  | 360 | 360 |
| 4105021 |  | 220 | 221 |
| 4105022 |  | 158 | 159 |
| 4105023 |  | 183 | 176 |
| Greenwith | Total | 6,626 | 6,599 |
| Redwood Park |  |  |  |
| 4105422 |  | 403 | 393 |
| Redwood Park | Total | 403 | 393 |
| Makin to Wakefield Total |  | 27,677 | 28,112 |
| Sturt to Boothby |  |  |  |
| Burnside - Wattle Park |  |  |  |
| 4101101 |  | 349 | 346 |
| 4101102 |  | 228 | 210 |
| 4101103 |  | 414 | 404 |
| 4101104 |  | 370 | 364 |
| 4101105 |  | 299 | 294 |
| 4101106 |  | 220 | 211 |
| 4101107 |  | 357 | 357 |
| 4101108 |  | 259 | 254 |
| 4101109 |  | 306 | 325 |
| 4101110 |  | 250 | 249 |
| 4101111 |  | 289 | 313 |
| 4101112 |  | 339 | 337 |


|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4101113 |  | 310 | 321 |
| 4101114 |  | 405 | 390 |
| 4101115 |  | 441 | 465 |
| 4101116 |  | 336 | 334 |
| 4101117 |  | 289 | 322 |
| 4101118 |  | 203 | 195 |
| 4101119 |  | 378 | 407 |
| 4101120 |  | 321 | 320 |
| 4101121 |  | 226 | 228 |
| 4101122 |  | 233 | 241 |
| 4101123 |  | 237 | 256 |
| 4101124 |  | 279 | 275 |
| 4101125 |  | 308 | 306 |
| 4101126 |  | 317 | 325 |
| 4101127 |  | 345 | 346 |
| 4101128 |  | 416 | 421 |
| 4101129 |  | 228 | 215 |
| 4101130 |  | 290 | 299 |
| 4101131 |  | 269 | 288 |
| 4101132 |  | 230 | 244 |
| 4101133 |  | 377 | 378 |
| 4101134 |  | 369 | 379 |
| 4101135 |  | 194 | 225 |
| 4101136 |  | 242 | 241 |
| 4101137 |  | 288 | 286 |
| 4101138 |  | 317 | 315 |
| 4101139 |  | 480 | 513 |
| 4101140 |  | 229 | 225 |
| 4101141 |  | 326 | 321 |
| 4101142 |  | 346 | 343 |
| 4101143 |  | 349 | 346 |
| 4101144 |  | 360 | 356 |
| Burnside - Wattle Park | Total | 13,618 | 13,790 |
| Glenside - Beaumont |  |  |  |
| 4101201 |  | 352 | 356 |
| 4101202 |  | 258 | 288 |
| 4101203 |  | 280 | 285 |
| 4101204 |  | 214 | 238 |
| 4101205 |  | 361 | 386 |
| 4101206 |  | 246 | 252 |
| 4101207 |  | 389 | 418 |
| 4101208 |  | 266 | 281 |
| 4101209 |  | 405 | 446 |
| 4101210 |  | 463 | 498 |
| 4101211 |  | 250 | 271 |
| 4101212 |  | 492 | 500 |


|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4101213 |  | 392 | 391 |
| 4101214 |  | 233 | 236 |
| 4101215 |  | 413 | 417 |
| 4101216 |  | 358 | 384 |
| 4101217 |  | 305 | 310 |
| 4101218 |  | 266 | 287 |
| 4101219 |  | 344 | 370 |
| 4101220 |  | 342 | 358 |
| 4101221 |  | 238 | 266 |
| Glenside - Beaumont | Total | 6,867 | 7,238 |
| Toorak Gardens |  |  |  |
| 4101301 |  | 259 | 254 |
| 4101302 |  | 262 | 259 |
| 4101303 |  | 374 | 375 |
| 4101304 |  | 345 | 353 |
| 4101305 |  | 400 | 383 |
| 4101307 |  | 285 | 296 |
| 4101313 |  | 275 | 278 |
| 4101317 |  | 440 | 478 |
| 4101318 |  | 541 | 542 |
| 4101319 |  | 243 | 237 |
| 4101320 |  | 275 | 275 |
| 4101321 |  | 338 | 351 |
| 4101326 |  | 305 | 327 |
| 4101327 |  | 383 | 385 |
| 4101328 |  | 138 | 142 |
| 4101329 |  | 251 | 258 |
| 4101330 |  | 382 | 382 |
| 4101331 |  | 279 | 300 |
| 4101332 |  | 185 | 200 |
| 4101333 |  | 160 | 164 |
| 4101334 |  | 308 | 311 |
| 4101336 |  | 175 | 171 |
| Toorak Gardens | Total | 6,603 | 6,721 |
| Norwood (SA) |  |  |  |
| 4101718 |  | 425 | 440 |
| 4101719 |  | 410 | 408 |
| 4101720 |  | 326 | 321 |
| 4101721 |  | 238 | 230 |
| 4101722 |  | 372 | 372 |
| 4101723 |  | 253 | 307 |
| Norwood (SA) | Total | 2,024 | 2,078 |
| Unley - Parkside |  |  |  |
| 4102419 |  | 347 | 356 |
| 4102420 |  | 410 | 425 |
| 4102421 |  | 310 | 306 |



|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4102320 |  | 232 | 244 |
| 4102321 |  | 199 | 208 |
| 4102322 |  | 246 | 258 |
| 4102323 |  | 316 | 326 |
| 4102324 |  | 398 | 401 |
| 4102325 |  | 511 | 507 |
| 4102326 |  | 247 | 258 |
| 4102327 |  | 310 | 308 |
| 4102328 |  | 377 | 370 |
| 4102329 |  | 267 | 271 |
| 4102330 |  | 441 | 428 |
| 4102331 |  | 377 | 379 |
| 4102332 |  | 343 | 366 |
| 4102333 |  | 341 | 330 |
| 4102334 |  | 361 | 360 |
| 4102335 |  | 272 | 271 |
| 4102336 |  | 238 | 236 |
| 4102340 |  | 202 | 192 |
| 4102341 |  | 222 | 228 |
| Goodwood - Millswood | Total | 11,332 | 11,394 |
| Unley - Parkside |  |  |  |
| 4102401 |  | 310 | 307 |
| 4102402 |  | 360 | 388 |
| 4102403 |  | 255 | 264 |
| 4102404 |  | 363 | 389 |
| 4102405 |  | 413 | 408 |
| 4102406 |  | 323 | 322 |
| 4102407 |  | 298 | 308 |
| 4102408 |  | 289 | 285 |
| 4102409 |  | 223 | 220 |
| 4102410 |  | 518 | 521 |
| 4102411 |  | 429 | 424 |
| 4102412 |  | 474 | 474 |
| 4102413 |  | 459 | 471 |
| 4102414 |  | 410 | 419 |
| 4102415 |  | 389 | 395 |
| 4102416 |  | 465 | 491 |
| 4102417 |  | 367 | 364 |
| 4102418 |  | 399 | 389 |
| 4102425 |  | 398 | 408 |
| 4102428 |  | 320 | 320 |
| 4102429 |  | 429 | 429 |
| 4102430 |  | 367 | 371 |
| 4102431 |  | 305 | 329 |
| 4102432 |  | 297 | 293 |
| 4102433 |  | 260 | 255 |



|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4101509 |  | 212 | 211 |
| 4101510 |  | 199 | 197 |
| 4101511 |  | 274 | 281 |
| 4101512 |  | 404 | 421 |
| 4101513 |  | 192 | 192 |
| 4101514 |  | 199 | 196 |
| 4101515 |  | 383 | 390 |
| 4101516 |  | 203 | 213 |
| 4101517 |  | 238 | 239 |
| 4101518 |  | 291 | 297 |
| 4101519 |  | 392 | 397 |
| 4101520 |  | 253 | 271 |
| 4101521 |  | 356 | 355 |
| 4101522 |  | 207 | 209 |
| 4101523 |  | 426 | 429 |
| 4101524 |  | 180 | 171 |
| 4101525 |  | 199 | 196 |
| 4101526 |  | 382 | 391 |
| 4101527 |  | 304 | 304 |
| 4101528 |  | 251 | 246 |
| 4101529 |  | 351 | 344 |
| 4101530 |  | 326 | 324 |
| 4101531 |  | 309 | 332 |
| 4101532 |  | 304 | 299 |
| 4101533 |  | 301 | 312 |
| 4101534 |  | 472 | 483 |
| 4101535 |  | 146 | 149 |
| 4101536 |  | 312 | 305 |
| 4101537 |  | 483 | 518 |
| 4101538 |  | 314 | 324 |
| 4101539 |  | 197 | 202 |
| 4101540 |  | 475 | 478 |
| 4101541 |  | 385 | 398 |
| 4101542 |  | 224 | 221 |
| 4101543 |  | 235 | 243 |
| 4101544 |  | 333 | 344 |
| 4101545 |  | 379 | 381 |
| Paradise - Newton | Total | 13,335 | 13,544 |
| Rostrevor - Magill |  |  |  |
| 4101601 |  | 396 | 396 |
| 4101602 |  | 363 | 387 |
| 4101603 |  | 323 | 322 |
| 4101604 |  | 356 | 376 |
| 4101605 |  | 301 | 318 |
| 4101606 |  | 376 | 392 |
| 4101607 |  | 330 | 322 |



|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4105108 |  | 371 | 379 |
| 4105109 |  | 297 | 293 |
| 4105110 |  | 193 | 190 |
| 4105111 |  | 349 | 350 |
| 4105113 |  | 178 | 184 |
| 4105114 |  | 176 | 178 |
| 4105115 |  | 276 | 268 |
| 4105116 |  | 313 | 312 |
| 4105117 |  | 219 | 216 |
| 4105118 |  | 291 | 288 |
| 4105119 |  | 217 | 213 |
| 4105120 |  | 248 | 250 |
| 4105121 |  | 380 | 374 |
| 4105122 |  | 271 | 271 |
| 4105123 |  | 289 | 291 |
| 4105124 |  | 169 | 167 |
| 4105125 |  | 276 | 284 |
| 4105126 |  | 301 | 297 |
| 4105127 |  | 352 | 343 |
| 4105128 |  | 281 | 283 |
| 4105129 |  | 372 | 365 |
| Highbury - Dernancourt | Total | 7,651 | 7,640 |
| Hope Valley - Modbury |  |  |  |
| 4105204 |  | 287 | 300 |
| 4105205 |  | 374 | 372 |
| 4105209 |  | 425 | 432 |
| 4105211 |  | - | - |
| 4105216 |  | 463 | 465 |
| 4105217 |  | 364 | 372 |
| 4105218 |  | 420 | 423 |
| 4105223 |  | 297 | 310 |
| 4105238 |  | 200 | 212 |
| Hope Valley - Modbury | Total | 2,830 | 2,886 |
| Sturt to Makin Total |  | 45,423 | 45,925 |
| Sturt to Adelaide |  |  |  |
| Payneham - Felixstow |  |  |  |
| 4101802 |  | 251 | 256 |
| 4101803 |  | 405 | 417 |
| 4101804 |  | 228 | 216 |
| 4101805 |  | 311 | 308 |
| 4101806 |  | 310 | 312 |
| 4101807 |  | 383 | 378 |
| 4101808 |  | 373 | 379 |
| 4101809 |  | 242 | 240 |
| 4101810 |  | 238 | 247 |
| 4101811 |  | 354 | 366 |


|  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4101812 | 276 | 279 |
| 4101813 | 215 | 218 |
| 4101814 | 220 | 220 |
| 4101815 | 348 | 354 |
| 4101816 | 272 | 265 |
| 4101817 | 335 | 340 |
| 4101818 | 197 | 195 |
| 4101819 | 258 | 262 |
| 4101820 | 196 | 191 |
| 4101821 | 255 | 256 |
| 4101822 | 277 | 268 |
| 4101823 | 346 | 332 |
| 4101824 | 478 | 480 |
| 4101825 | 222 | 230 |
| 4101826 | 256 | 259 |
| 4101827 | 184 | 184 |
| 4101828 | 358 | 359 |
| 4101829 | 217 | 219 |
| Payneham - Felixstow Total | 8,005 | 8,030 |
| St Peters - Marden |  |  |
| 4101913 | 382 | 378 |
| 4101914 | 272 | 255 |
| 4101920 | 388 | 386 |
| 4101921 | 273 | 266 |
| 4101927 | 252 | 249 |
| St Peters - Marden Total | 1,567 | 1,534 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles Plains |  |  |
| 4103703 | 250 | 250 |
| 4103704 | 259 | 272 |
| 4103707 | 184 | 208 |
| 4103708 | 215 | 221 |
| 4103709 | 367 | 390 |
| 4103710 | 196 | 220 |
| 4103711 | 234 | 245 |
| 4103712 | 499 | 500 |
| 4103713 | 32 | 34 |
| 4103714 | 402 | 416 |
| 4103715 | 356 | 351 |
| 4103735 | 405 | 432 |
| 4103736 | 452 | 470 |
| 4103737 | 308 | 348 |
| 4103742 | 370 | 387 |
| 4103745 | 163 | 175 |
| 4103750 | 246 | 244 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles Plains Total Windsor Gardens | 4,938 | 5,163 |


|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4103801 |  | 354 | 396 |
| 4103802 |  | 256 | 272 |
| 4103803 |  | 399 | 426 |
| 4103804 |  | 331 | 353 |
| 4103805 |  | 353 | 352 |
| 4103806 |  | 321 | 347 |
| 4103807 |  | 428 | 465 |
| 4103808 |  | 312 | 314 |
| 4103809 |  | 345 | 340 |
| 4103810 |  | 315 | 336 |
| 4103811 |  | 423 | 454 |
| 4103812 |  | 258 | 251 |
| 4103813 |  | 447 | 460 |
| 4103818A |  | - | - |
| 4103819 |  | 324 | 341 |
| 4103820 |  | 398 | 389 |
| 4103821 |  | 360 | 414 |
| 4103822 |  | 303 | 326 |
| 4103823 |  | 397 | 400 |
| 4103825 |  | 468 | 483 |
| 4103826 |  | 289 | 282 |
| 4103830 |  | 413 | 419 |
| 4103831 |  | 291 | 303 |
| 4103833 |  | 369 | 380 |
| 4103834 |  | 264 | 294 |
| 4103835 |  | 500 | 549 |
| 4103836 |  | 160 | 170 |
| 4103837 |  | 259 | 258 |
| 4103838 |  | 301 | 324 |
| Windsor Gardens | Total | 9,638 | 10,098 |
| Highbury - Dernancourt |  |  |  |
| 4105112 |  | 234 | 244 |
| Highbury - Dernancourt | Total | 234 | 244 |
| Hope Valley - Modbury |  |  |  |
| 4105212 |  | 379 | 382 |
| 4105214 |  | 335 | 334 |
| 4105215 |  | 448 | 443 |
| 4105219 |  | 310 | 327 |
| 4105220 |  | 326 | 320 |
| 4105221 |  | 230 | 226 |
| 4105222 |  | - | - |
| Hope Valley - Modbury | Total | 2,028 | 2,032 |
| Sturt to Adelaide Total |  | 26,410 | 27,101 |
| Adelaide to Hindmarsh |  |  |  |
| Goodwood - Millswood |  |  |  |
| 4102312 |  | 351 | 349 |
| 48 |  |  |  |




|  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4111905C | 152 | 154 |
| 4111906B | 128 | 131 |
| 4111907 | 283 | 300 |
| 4111908 | 351 | 347 |
| 4111909 | 518 | 530 |
| 4111910 | 373 | 373 |
| 4111911 | 259 | 251 |
| 4111912 | 174 | 168 |
| 4111913 | 212 | 223 |
| 4111914 | 250 | 244 |
| 4111915 | 165 | 162 |
| 4111916 | 265 | 273 |
| 4111926 | 183 | 190 |
| 4111927 | 171 | 173 |
| Wakefield - Barunga West Total | 3,484 | 3,519 |
| Wakefield to Grey Total | 15,862 | 16,084 |
| Makin to Adelaide |  |  |
| Enfield - Blair Athol |  |  |
| 4103602 | - | - |
| 4103603 | 419 | 449 |
| Enfield - Blair Athol Total | 419 | 449 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles Plains |  |  |
| 4103702 | 267 | 274 |
| 4103716 | 5 | 6 |
| 4103717 | 286 | 314 |
| 4103734 | 236 | 253 |
| 4103738 | 385 | 436 |
| 4103739 | 394 | 430 |
| 4103749 | 236 | 264 |
| Northgate - Oakden - Gilles Plains Total | 1,809 | 1,977 |
| Makin to Adelaide Total | 2,228 | 2,426 |
| Port Adelaide to Adelaide |  |  |
| Enfield - Blair Athol |  |  |
| 4103648 | 2 | 2 |
| Enfield - Blair Athol Total | 2 | 2 |
| Hindmarsh - Brompton |  |  |
| 4109301 | 350 | 339 |
| 4109302 | 339 | 307 |
| 4109306 | 305 | 301 |
| 4109307 | 366 | 360 |
| 4109312 | 223 | 220 |
| 4109323 | 380 | 378 |
| 4109324 | 275 | 262 |
| 4109326 | 199 | 201 |
| 4109327 | 376 | 372 |
| 4109328 | 296 | 301 |


|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4109329 |  | 255 | 257 |
| 4109332 |  | 236 | 245 |
| 4109334 |  | 264 | 270 |
| 4109335 |  | 386 | 393 |
| 4109336 |  | 219 | 224 |
| 4109337 |  | 264 | 256 |
| 4109338 |  | 227 | 219 |
| 4109339 |  | 268 | 276 |
| 4109341 |  | 207 | 209 |
| 4109343 |  | 243 | 241 |
| Hindmarsh - Brompton | Total | 5,678 | 5,631 |
| Woodville - Cheltenham |  |  |  |
| 4109701 |  | 243 | 254 |
| 4109702 |  | 339 | 360 |
| 4109728 |  | 286 | 282 |
| 4109729 |  | 352 | 358 |
| Woodville - Cheltenham | Total | 1,220 | 1,254 |
| The Parks |  |  |  |
| 4110201 |  | 293 | 298 |
| 4110203 |  | 179 | 184 |
| 4110204 |  | 193 | 197 |
| 4110205 |  | 221 | 221 |
| 4110206 |  | 281 | 279 |
| 4110207 |  | 319 | 319 |
| 4110208 |  | 270 | 267 |
| 4110209 |  | 287 | 284 |
| 4110210 |  | 291 | 293 |
| 4110211 |  | 885 | 883 |
| 4110212 |  | 223 | 235 |
| 4110218 |  | 23 | 21 |
| 4110219 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 4110220 |  | 769 | 774 |
| 4110222 |  | 371 | 374 |
| 4110223 |  | 245 | 240 |
| 4110224 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 4110225 |  | 316 | 311 |
| 4110226 |  | 274 | 279 |
| 4110227 |  | 356 | 361 |
| 4110237 |  | 258 | 259 |
| 4110238 |  | 426 | 419 |
| 4110239 |  | 204 | 205 |
| 4110240 |  | 343 | 343 |
| 4110241 |  | 240 | 241 |
| 4110242 |  | 203 | 200 |
| The Parks |  | 7,472 | 7,489 |
| Port Adelaide to Adelaide |  | 14,372 | 14,376 |




|  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4106401 | 216 | 210 |
| 4106402 | 588 | 681 |
| 4106403 | 344 | 375 |
| 4106404 | 262 | 258 |
| 4106405 | 286 | 337 |
| 4106406 | 368 | 433 |
| 4106407 | 353 | 432 |
| 4106408 | 372 | 416 |
| 4106409 | 267 | 265 |
| 4106410 | 419 | 464 |
| 4106411 | 327 | 390 |
| 4106412 | 338 | 355 |
| 4106413 | 227 | 248 |
| 4106414 | 268 | 308 |
| 4106415 | - | - |
| 4106416 | 481 | 539 |
| 4106417 | 378 | 434 |
| 4106418 | 349 | 386 |
| 4106419 | 522 | 559 |
| 4106420 | 218 | 214 |
| 4106421 | 265 | 271 |
| 4106422 | 292 | 303 |
| 4106423 | 304 | 346 |
| 4106424 | 327 | 342 |
| 4106425 | 429 | 446 |
| 4106426 | 475 | 532 |
| 4106427 | 420 | 446 |
| 4106428 | 454 | 482 |
| 4106429 | 189 | 189 |
| 4106430 | 514 | 503 |
| 4106431 | - | - |
| 4106432 | - | - |
| 4106433 | 330 | 377 |
| Warradale Total | 10,582 | 11,541 |
| Boothby to Hindmarsh Total | 34,807 | 35,987 |
| Hindmarsh to Boothby |  |  |
| Edwardstown |  |  |
| 4105801 | 484 | 518 |
| 4105802 | 404 | 429 |
| 4105803 | 422 | 422 |
| 4105804 | 276 | 269 |
| 4105805 | 258 | 257 |
| 4105806 | 274 | 271 |
| 4105807 | 244 | 241 |
| 4105808 | 385 | 384 |
| 4105809 | 229 | 221 |


|  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4105810 | 265 | 272 |
| 4105811 | 222 | 221 |
| 4105812 | 299 | 303 |
| 4105813 | 352 | 343 |
| 4105814 | 470 | 497 |
| 4105815 | 417 | 428 |
| 4105816 | 270 | 300 |
| 4105817 | 374 | 416 |
| 4105818 | 409 | 409 |
| 4105819 | 467 | 513 |
| 4105820 | 16 | 19 |
| 4105821 | 270 | 281 |
| 4105822 | 250 | 256 |
| 4105823 | 228 | 259 |
| 4105824 | 387 | 415 |
| 4105825 | 384 | 400 |
| 4105826 | 2 | 2 |
| 4105827 | 203 | 208 |
| 4105828 | 280 | 274 |
| 4105829 | 310 | 331 |
| 4105830 | 263 | 293 |
| 4105831 | 256 | 281 |
| 4105832 | 273 | 303 |
| 4105833 | 1 | 1 |
| Edwardstown Total | 9,644 | 10,037 |
| Hindmarsh to Boothby Total | 9,644 | 10,037 |
| Boothby to Kingston |  |  |
| Aberfoyle Park |  |  |
| 4107101 | 261 | 256 |
| 4107102 | 368 | 365 |
| 4107103 | 266 | 281 |
| 4107104 | 241 | 234 |
| 4107105 | 411 | 426 |
| 4107106 | 289 | 285 |
| 4107107 | 308 | 302 |
| 4107108 | 290 | 282 |
| 4107109 | 329 | 336 |
| 4107110 | 419 | 401 |
| 4107111A | 135 | 135 |
| 4107112 | 331 | 322 |
| 4107113 | 320 | 314 |
| 4107114 | 345 | 325 |
| 4107115 | 396 | 347 |
| 4107116 | 324 | 318 |
| 4107117 | 218 | 207 |
| 4107118 | 267 | 264 |


|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4107119 |  | 273 | 266 |
| 4107120 |  | 326 | 323 |
| 4107121 |  | 287 | 297 |
| 4107122 |  | 409 | 392 |
| 4107123 |  | 442 | 432 |
| 4107124 |  | 320 | 318 |
| 4107125 |  | 142 | 142 |
| 4107126 |  | 285 | 283 |
| 4107127 |  | 114 | 113 |
| 4107128 |  | 283 | 282 |
| 4107129 |  | 132 | 121 |
| 4107130 |  | - | - |
| 4107131 |  | 246 | 245 |
| Aberfoyle Park | Total | 8,777 | 8,614 |
| Flagstaff Hill |  |  |  |
| 4107701 |  | 238 | 232 |
| 4107702 |  | 477 | 472 |
| 4107703 |  | 435 | 432 |
| 4107704 |  | 246 | 241 |
| 4107705 |  | 344 | 341 |
| 4107706 |  | 262 | 253 |
| 4107707 |  | 262 | 258 |
| 4107708 |  | 270 | 264 |
| 4107709 |  | 283 | 280 |
| 4107710 |  | 459 | 452 |
| 4107711 |  | 363 | 359 |
| 4107712 |  | - | - |
| 4107713 |  | 446 | 447 |
| 4107714 |  | 192 | 201 |
| 4107716 |  | 338 | 343 |
| 4107717 |  | 172 | 166 |
| 4107718 |  | 392 | 410 |
| 4107719 |  | 412 | 410 |
| 4107720 |  | 477 | 472 |
| 4107721 |  | 370 | 371 |
| 4107722 |  | 207 | 219 |
| 4107723 |  | 250 | 249 |
| 4107724 |  | - | - |
| 4107725 |  | 2 | 1 |
| 4107726 |  | 460 | 478 |
| 4107727 |  | 223 | 229 |
| Flagstaff Hill | Total | 7,580 | 7,580 |
| Happy Valley |  |  |  |
| 4108017 |  | 242 | 240 |
| 4108018 |  | 240 | 240 |
| 4108020 |  | 444 | 442 |


|  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4108026 | 275 | 273 |
| 4108030 | 254 | 257 |
| Happy Valley Total | 1,455 | 1,452 |
| Happy Valley Reservoir |  |  |
| 4108101B | - | - |
| 4108101D | - | - |
| Happy Valley Reservoir Total | - | - |
| Boothby to Kingston Total | 17,812 | 17,646 |
| Kingston to Mayo |  |  |
| Aldinga |  |  |
| 4107201 | 474 | 458 |
| 4107202 | 396 | 418 |
| 4107203 | 541 | 539 |
| 4107204 | 535 | 542 |
| 4107205 | 237 | 235 |
| 4107206 | 1,039 | 1,135 |
| 4107207 | 907 | 1,008 |
| 4107208 | 670 | 666 |
| 4107209 | 361 | 393 |
| 4107210B | 345 | 390 |
| 4107211 | 325 | 343 |
| 4107212 | 231 | 255 |
| 4107213 | 360 | 417 |
| 4107214 | 546 | 568 |
| 4107215 | 428 | 456 |
| 4107216 | 231 | 300 |
| 4107217 | 322 | 321 |
| 4107218 | 249 | 247 |
| 4107219 | 349 | 348 |
| 4107220 | 487 | 496 |
| 4107221 | 387 | 382 |
| 4107222 | 323 | 339 |
| 4107223 | 201 | 196 |
| 4107224 | 325 | 346 |
| 4107225 | 191 | 200 |
| 4107226 | 156 | 151 |
| Aldinga Total | 10,616 | 11,149 |
| Kingston to Mayo Total | 10,616 | 11,149 |
| Boothby to Mayo |  |  |
| Coromandel Valley |  |  |
| 4107606F | 167 | 163 |
| 4107607B | 245 | 236 |
| 4107607A | - | - |
| 4107608 | 259 | 267 |
| 4107609A | 239 | 252 |
| Coromandel Valley Total | 910 | 918 |


|  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flagstaff Hill |  |  |
| 4107715 | 228 | 229 |
| Flagstaff Hill Total | 228 | 229 |
| Boothby to Mayo Total | 1,138 | 1,147 |
| Mayo to Kingston |  |  |
| Happy Valley |  |  |
| 4108001 | 346 | 342 |
| 4108002 | 101 | 107 |
| 4108019 | 326 | 324 |
| 4108027 | 165 | 163 |
| 4108028 | 321 | 308 |
| 4108029 | 428 | 418 |
| Happy Valley Total | 1,687 | 1,662 |
| Mayo to Kingston Total | 1,687 | 1,662 |
| Hindmarsh to Port Adelaide |  |  |
| Seaton - Grange |  |  |
| 4109501 | 22 | 22 |
| 4109502 | 320 | 351 |
| 4109503 | 420 | 414 |
| 4109504 | 341 | 349 |
| 4109505 | 453 | 449 |
| 4109506 | 374 | 389 |
| 4109507 | 213 | 211 |
| 4109508 | 296 | 295 |
| 4109509 | 388 | 385 |
| 4109510 | 271 | 276 |
| 4109517 | 2 | 2 |
| 4109518 | 229 | 239 |
| 4109520 | 262 | 256 |
| 4109521 | 380 | 395 |
| 4109526 | 247 | 252 |
| 4109527 | 245 | 257 |
| 4109528 | 266 | 265 |
| 4109529 | 383 | 393 |
| 4109530 | 393 | 357 |
| 4109531 | 402 | 437 |
| 4109532 | 388 | 386 |
| 4109533 | 331 | 336 |
| 4109534 | 153 | 151 |
| 4109535 | 326 | 319 |
| 4109536 | 228 | 230 |
| 4109537 | 316 | 333 |
| 4109538 | 168 | 163 |
| 4109539 | 249 | 245 |
| 4109540 | 182 | 181 |
| Seaton - Grange Total | 8,248 | 8,338 |



|  |  | Sum of Actual enrolment 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment 20/01/2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4111012 |  | 272 | 269 |
| 4111013 F |  | 168 | 171 |
| 4111013C |  | - | - |
| Barossa - Angaston | Total | 1,299 | 1,316 |
| Lyndoch |  |  |  |
| 4111202B |  | 6 | 6 |
| Lyndoch | Total | 6 | 6 |
| Mayo to Barker Total |  | 1,305 | 1,322 |
| Wakefield to Barker |  |  |  |
| Barossa - Angaston |  |  |  |
| 4111013B |  | 2 | 2 |
| Barossa - Angaston | Total | 2 | 2 |
| Light |  |  |  |
| 4111101 |  | 266 | 297 |
| 4111102 |  | 281 | 321 |
| 4111103 |  | 287 | 301 |
| 4111104 |  | 230 | 273 |
| 4111105 |  | 141 | 156 |
| 4111106 |  | 196 | 210 |
| 4111107 |  | 143 | 168 |
| 4111108 |  | 433 | 512 |
| 4111109 |  | 239 | 233 |
| 4111110 |  | 211 | 217 |
| 4111111 |  | 257 | 256 |
| 4111112 |  | 328 | 359 |
| 4111113 |  | 173 | 171 |
| 4111114 |  | 504 | 681 |
| 4111115 |  | 135 | 131 |
| 4111116 |  | 226 | 223 |
| 4111117 |  | 174 | 169 |
| 4111118 |  | 337 | 333 |
| 4111119 |  | 180 | 178 |
| 4111120 |  | 235 | 234 |
| 4111121 |  | 253 | 281 |
| 4111122 |  | 293 | 296 |
| 4111123 |  | 309 | 316 |
| 4111124 |  | 182 | 172 |
| 4111125 |  | 2326,245 | 232 |
| Light | Total |  | 6,720 |
| Lyndoch |  |  |  |
| 4111201 |  | 207 | 234 |
| 4111202A |  | 209 | 217 |
| 4111203A |  | 118 | 138 |
| 4111204 |  | 385 | 455 |
| 4111205 |  | 177 | 176 |
| 4111206 |  | 340 | 344 |


|  | Sum of Actual enrolment <br> 04/09/2017 | Sum of Projected enrolment <br> $\mathbf{2 0 / 0 1 / 2 0 2 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4111207 | 289 | 304 |  |
| 4111208 A | 160 | 148 |  |
| 4111209 | 136 | 156 |  |
| 4111210 | 175 | 163 |  |
| 4111211 | 205 | 215 |  |
| 4111212 |  | 332 | 328 |
| 4111213 | 247 | 260 |  |
| 4111214 | 458 | 502 |  |
| 4111215 | 335 | 383 |  |
| 4111216 |  | 283 | 290 |
| 4111217 | 126 | 130 |  |
| Lyndoch | $\mathbf{4 , 1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 4 3}$ |  |
| Nuriootpa |  |  |  |
| 4111402 |  | 289 | 309 |
| Nuriootpa | Total | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 9}$ |
| Wakefield to Barker Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 7 4}$ |  |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{3 0 4 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0 , 8 0 3}$ |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The exceptions being part of Lake Alexandria and the Murray Mouth area around Goolwa.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Tea Tree Gully, Port Adelaide Enfield, Campbelltown, Norwood Payneham and St Peters, Burnside, Unley.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ See para 51 of the Committee's report.

