



THE FEDERAL  
REDISTRIBUTION  
QUEENSLAND

# Comment on suggestion 9

Liberal National Party

7 pages

Queensland Federal Redistribution Committee  
Australian Electoral Commission  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
488 Queen Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4001

2 June 2017

Dear Redistribution Committee,

The Liberal National Party (LNP) responds to the Electoral Commissioner's invitation for comments on written proposals for the redistribution of federal electoral divisions for the House of Representatives in Queensland. The LNP submits the attached comments for the Redistribution Committee's consideration.

As indicated in the LNP's written redistribution proposal, "the underpinning principle is that as far as possible there should be minimal disruption to enrolments, with communities of interest maintained and improved to align with established social and economic connectivity."

Our comments on the proposals for the redistribution relies on this principle. We have considered the submissions and acknowledge and respect the contributions made by each of the submitters. Attachment 1 provides detailed analysis of each proposal.

Some submissions proposed name changes to divisions. Any nomenclature changes to Federal divisions are unnecessary and opposed.

We agree with those submissions proposing that only minimal change was required to federal divisions. The LNP does not support substantial or unnecessarily disruptive change to divisional boundaries.

Thank you for considering these comments on written submissions.

Yours sincerely,



Michael O'Dwyer  
LNP STATE DIRECTOR

Encl:  
Attachment 1: Comments on Suggestions

**LNP COMMENTS ON SUBMISSIONS**  
**REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND DIVISIONS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

NO.	SUBMISSION	COMMENT
1	Hall	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
2	Stewart	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
3	Angel	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
4	Hall	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
5	Harradine	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
6	Pickering	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
7	Mr Martin Gordon	<p>We acknowledge Mr Gordon's considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 7.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Griffith/Bonner</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Griffith</i> to <i>Bonner</i> from Holland Park and Mount Gravatt is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Griffith</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment of the south-western boundary with the division of <i>Moreton</i> to follow the Pacific Motorway south of Cornwall St.</li> <li>2. <i>Brisbane/Ryan</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Brisbane</i> to <i>Ryan</i> west of Wardell St is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Brisbane</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment of the northern boundary with the division of <i>Lilley</i> is to utilise Kedron Brook as a continuous boundary across a number of divisions.</li> <li>3. <i>Dickson/Lilley</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Lilley</i> to <i>Dickson</i> from McDowall west of Beckett Rd has merit.</li> <li>4. <i>Fadden/Forde</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from <i>Fadden</i> to <i>Forde</i> in the Oxenford area has merit.</li> <li>5. <i>Wide Bay/Flynn/Hinkler</i>: The proposed changes across these division are reasonable, however impacts on the southern <i>Wide Bay</i> boundary should be noted.</li> <li>6. <i>Wide Bay/Fairfax</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Fairfax</i> to <i>Wide Bay</i> from Eumundi-Yandina is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fairfax</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment of the southern boundary with the division of <i>Fisher</i> to follow the Sunshine Motorway to exclude Mountain Creek.</li> <li>7. <i>Fisher/Longman</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Longman</i> to <i>Fisher</i> from Elimbah is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fisher</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment of the southern boundary with the division of <i>Longman</i> to include in <i>Fisher</i> the Woodford-D'Aguilar area.</li> <li>8. <i>Rankin/Oxley/Moreton</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Rankin</i> to <i>Oxley</i> from Algester is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Oxley</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment of the western boundary with the division of <i>Moreton</i> to include the majority of the suburb of Oxley in the division of <i>Oxley</i>. More broadly across these divisions, Submission 7 proposes unnecessarily far-reaching changes that conflict with established communities of interest and are not supported.</li> <li>9. <i>Leichhardt/Kennedy</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> in the south Cairns area are not supported as it splits unnecessarily the city of Cairns. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichhardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and the linked community of interest in Kuranda.</li> <li>10. <i>Herbert/Kennedy</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments between the division of <i>Herbert</i> and <i>Kennedy</i> at Douglas is not supported. The undesirable outcome of this proposition in conjunction with the growth in enrolments in Cairns and Townsville is for <i>Kennedy</i> to incorporate significant part of both the major regional cities of Cairns and Townsville.</li> <li>11. <i>Capricornia/Kennedy</i>: The proposed extension of the division of <i>Capricornia</i> north to the southern part of Charters Towers is not supported. There is an absence of community of interest, transport or communication linkages between Rockhampton and Charters Towers. The result is incoherent and disconnected communities.</li> </ol>
8	Fraser Coast Council	This proposal to reunite the Fraser Coast Regional Council in the division of <i>Wide Bay</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
9	Moore Park Beach Community Association	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
10	Mr Waddell	<p>We acknowledge Mr Waddell's considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 10.</p> <p>Submission 10 states, "... This means I am proposing big changes to the 10 rural and regional Divisions. And when I say big, I mean REALLY BIG!". To a certain extent this statement also applies to Submission 10's proposals elsewhere.</p> <p>Unnecessary and significant disruption of existing communities of interest as established within current divisional boundaries is not supported. Such substantial change is more appropriate in the instance of a redistribution involving a changed number of divisions.</p> <p>Any change to existing division names is not supported.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Gold Coast/Scenic Rim</i>: Submission 10 proposes substantial and unnecessary changes in the Gold Coast and Scenic Rim regions. These proposals deliver divisions with significant and unreasonable dislocation of communities of interest and are not supported. In particular, the following proposals are strongly opposed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The substantial transfer of some 55,000 enrolments in total between the divisions of <i>Forde</i>, <i>Fadden</i> and <i>Wright</i>, has the outcome of the division of <i>Fadden</i> to extending from the NSW border to Kopps Road and Hope Island; and</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

**LNP COMMENTS ON SUBMISSIONS**  
**REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND DIVISIONS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

NO.	SUBMISSION	COMMENT
		<p>b. In addition, the division of <i>Wright</i> extending across the Great Dividing Range to the Southern Downs to the Gold Coast and Logan which have no common economic, communication or substantial transport connectivity.</p>
		<p>2. Brisbane south of the river/Ipswich: Submission 10 proposes substantial and unnecessary changes in the southern Brisbane and Ipswich regions. These proposals deliver divisions with significant and unreasonable dislocation of communities of interest and are not supported. In particular, the following proposals are strongly opposed:</p> <p>a. The interchange of the Bulimba and Mount Gravatt areas is unnecessary and does not deliver any improvement in the division of <i>Bonner</i> or <i>Griffith's</i> cohesion;</p> <p>b. The interchange of the Fairfield and Ekibin areas is unnecessary and would be better served by the utilisation of the clearly identifiable boundary of the Pacific Motorway to address the quota requirements of the division of <i>Griffith</i>;</p> <p>c. The transfer of Durack from the division of <i>Oxley</i> to <i>Moreton</i> is unnecessary and severs its established community of interest with the broader Inala based suburbs. The division of <i>Oxley's</i> need for additional enrolments is more effectively addressed by transferring the majority of the suburb of Oxley into this division, as opposed to adjustment in the Springfield area; and</p> <p>d. The division of <i>Groom</i> is proposed to extend across the Great Dividing Range to Gatton in the Lockyer Valley Regional Council area. This breaks the strong natural boundary of the Range which forms the eastern edge of Toowoomba City Council resulting in substantial dislocation of communities of interest. Similarly, this applies to the proposal for the division of <i>Wright</i>, which is proposed to extend across the Range into the Southern Downs Regional Council. A similar boundary has existed previously and was vehemently opposed by Southern Downs communities.</p> <p>3. Brisbane north of the river:</p> <p>a. The interchange of the Auchenflower/Torwood and Ashgrove to Gaythorne areas is unnecessary and cosmetic. The need to transfer enrolments out of over quota Brisbane can be appropriately addressed by the submission's proposal to utilise Kedron Brook as the division of Brisbane's northern boundary, which is supported.</p> <p>b. The transfer Brighton, Sandgate, Deagon and Shorncliffe from the division of <i>Lilley</i> to <i>Petrie</i> has been proposed and strongly objected to by the local communities at previous redistributions based on community of interest. This proposal, and its resulting impact on Aspley and Deception Bay, is unrealistic and is strongly opposed.</p> <p>4. Sunshine Coast: Submission 10 proposes substantial and unnecessary changes in the Sunshine Coast region. These proposals deliver divisions with significant and unreasonable dislocation of communities of interest and are not supported. In particular, the following proposals are strongly opposed:</p> <p>a. The extension of the division of <i>Wide Bay</i> to extend into the Sunshine Coast hinterland to Montville dislocates established communities of interest. It severs the hinterland from its coastal community of interest and is socially and economically unsupportable; and</p> <p>b. The substantial changes to enrolments in the Mooloolaba-Alexandra Headland and Noosa areas are opposed. Transfers required to balance the required quota between the divisions of <i>Fairfax</i> and <i>Fisher</i> is best addressed by utilising the Sunshine Motorway as the boundary in the Mountain Creek area.</p> <p>5. Regional Queensland: Submission 10 proposes substantial and unnecessary changes in Regional Queensland. Submission 10 states, "... This means I am proposing big changes to the 10 rural and regional Divisions. And when I say big, I mean REALLY BIG!". These proposals deliver divisions with significant and unreasonable dislocation of communities of interest and are not supported. In particular, the following proposals are strongly opposed:</p> <p>a. The consequential transfers from the division of <i>Groom</i> to <i>Maranoa</i> as a result of Groom move into the Lockyer Valley Regional Council areas results in unnecessary dislocation and is counterproductive to Darling Downs' communities of interest.</p> <p>b. The enrolments in the Townsville City Council area are in excess of one division's quota. This requires enrolments to be shared by at least two divisions. The removal of the division of <i>Dawson</i> from Townsville City has merit, but the consequential impacts and transferal of enrolments down the coast to the Sunshine Coast is unnecessary and makes this option unsupportable.</p> <p>c. The unrealistic consequences of Submission 10's substantial adjustments across regional Queensland are exemplified by the following:</p> <p>i. <i>Maranoa</i> extends from the NSW border to the Gulf of Carpentaria; and</p> <p>ii. Major regional cities are severed from their existing divisions - Maryborough (transferred from <i>Wide Bay</i> to <i>Hinkler</i>) and Bundaberg (transferred from <i>Hinkler</i> to <i>Flynn</i>). This would create enormous confusion and detrimental impacts on this region. The dysfunction is exemplified by Maryborough sharing a division with Biloela.</p>
11	Mr Andrew Kamler	<p>We acknowledge Mr Kamler's considerable contribution in Submission 11.</p> <p>1. Regional Queensland:</p> <p>a. <i>Leichhardt/Kennedy</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> in the south Cairns area are not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichhardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and the linked community of interest in Kuranda.</p> <p>b. <i>Capricornia</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Dawson</i> to <i>Capricornia</i> is supported, however the suggestion of including the balance of Rockhampton City area in Capricornia is unnecessary and has substantial impacts on the division of Flynn. Its flow on impacts unsettles established communities of interest. Change to the existing Flynn and Capricornia boundary is not supported. Gracemere is a key service centre for the mining and agricultural industries in Flynn including the major cattle and rail freight service hubs.</p> <p>c. <i>Hinkler</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Wide Bay</i> to <i>Hinkler</i> from Burrum-Fraser is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Hinkler</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is to adjust the northern boundary with the division of <i>Flynn</i> to include the Oakwood/Gooburrum area.</p> <p>d. <i>Fisher/Fairfax</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Fairfax</i> to <i>Fisher</i> from Palmwoods is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fisher</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is for adjustment in the Mountain Creek area utilising the Sunshine Motorway as the boundary.</p>

**LNP COMMENTS ON SUBMISSIONS**  
**REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND DIVISIONS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

NO.	SUBMISSION	COMMENT
		<p>2. South-east Queensland: Submission 11 proposes substantial and unnecessary changes in south-east Queensland region. These proposals deliver divisions with significant and unreasonable dislocation of communities of interest are not supported. In particular, the following proposals are strongly opposed:</p> <p>e. <i>Bonner</i> is abolished as a division south of the Brisbane River and replaced as an additional division north of the River to include suburbs such as Ascot and Aspley.</p> <p>f. <i>Brisbane</i> and <i>Ryan</i> substantially and unnecessarily cross the Brisbane River.</p> <p>g. <i>Griffith</i> is displaced from central Brisbane east to include Wynnum Manly.</p> <p>h. <i>Lilley</i> extends west to The Gap and is displaced from the Brighton/Shorncliffe area.</p> <p>i. <i>Fadden</i> extends from Labrador to Mount Warren Park.</p> <p>j. <i>Forde</i> is displaced to become a Logan based division.</p>
12	Dr Mark Mulcair	<p>We acknowledge Dr Mulcair's considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 12.</p> <p>1. <i>Leichhardt/Kennedy</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from <i>Leichhardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> in the south Cairns area and <i>Kennedy</i> to <i>Leichhardt</i> in Tablelands is not supported as it splits unnecessarily the city of Cairns. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichhardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and the linked community of interest in Kuranda.</p> <p>2. <i>Capricornia/Flynn</i>: The interchange of enrolments in the Rockhampton region and the Clermont area is not supported has substantial impacts on the division of <i>Flynn</i>. It unsettles established communities of interest and is not supported. Gracemere is a key service centre for the mining and agricultural industries in <i>Flynn</i> including the major cattle and rail freight service hubs.</p> <p>3. <i>Wide Bay/Hinkler</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments at Burrum-Fraser from the division of <i>Wide Bay</i> to <i>Hinkler</i> is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Hinkler</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment of the northern boundary with the division of <i>Flynn</i> to include in <i>Hinkler</i> the Oakwood/Gooburrum area.</p> <p>4. <i>Fisher/Fairfax</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments at Palmwoods from the division of <i>Fairfax</i> to <i>Fisher</i> is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fisher</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is adjustment of the in the Mountain Creek area utilising the Sunshine Motorway as the boundary.</p> <p>5. <i>Fisher/Longman</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments at Elimbah from <i>Longman</i> to <i>Fisher</i> is not supported. The more conducive outcome is to adjust the southern boundary with the division of <i>Longman</i> to include only the Woodford-D'Aguilar area in <i>Fisher</i>.</p> <p>6. <i>Brisbane/Lilley</i>: The utilisation of Kedron Brook as the northern boundary of the division of <i>Brisbane</i> is supported.</p> <p>7. <i>Lilley/Petrie</i>: The transfer of Bridgeman Downs and part of Aspley from the division of <i>Lilley</i> is supported. The transfer of Deception Bay enrolments causes substantial flow-on impacts that are not supported.</p> <p>8. Gold Coast region: The impacts of submission 12's Gold Coast proposed divisions cause concern due to substantial changes in the region and to adjacent areas.</p> <p>9. <i>Griffith</i>: The transfer of Mount Gravatt enrolments from the division of <i>Griffith</i> to <i>Bonner</i> is not supported as it is unnecessary and the required reduction of <i>Griffith</i> enrolments is better achieved by aligning the boundary with the Pacific Motorway south of Cornwall St, as outlined elsewhere in this submission.</p> <p>10. <i>Moreton</i>: The transfer of the Oxley suburb enrolments from <i>Moreton</i> to the division of <i>Oxley</i> is supported. The interchange of enrolments between the Corinda/Sherwood/Chelmer-Graceville and the Algester/Calamvale-Stretton areas is unnecessary as it conflicts with communities of interest.</p>
13	Mr Darren McSweeney	<p>We acknowledge Mr McSweeney's considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 13.</p> <p>1. <i>Leichhardt</i>: The contraction of the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> to be a Cairns City Council based division is supported, however, it should also include the connected hinterland community of Kuranda.</p> <p>2. <i>Herbert</i>: The enrolments in the Townsville City Council area are in excess of one division's quota. This requires enrolments to be shared by at least two divisions. The removal of the division of <i>Dawson</i> from Townsville City has merit, but the consequential impacts and transferal of enrolments down the coast to the Sunshine Coast is unnecessary and makes this option unsupportable. The exclusion of Palm Island from <i>Herbert</i> and its natural community of interest in Townsville is not supported.</p> <p>3. <i>Capricornia</i>: The proposed extension of the division of <i>Capricornia</i> north to Charters Towers is not supported. There is an absence of community of interest, transport or communication linkages between Rockhampton and Charters Towers. The result is incoherent and disconnected communities.</p> <p>4. <i>Flynn/Maranoa</i>: The extension of the division of <i>Flynn</i> to stretch south to within 100kms of the Brisbane CBD, and <i>Maranoa</i> to extend from the NSW border to Mount Isa is strongly opposed. These proposals result in the unwelcome outcome of disparate and entirely unconnected communities placed in the same division.</p> <p>5. North Brisbane: The substantial adjustment to this region is based on "cleaning up boundaries". This is unnecessary and dislocates established communities of interest across the region. The divisions of <i>Lilley</i>, <i>Petrie</i> and <i>Dickson</i> effectively are unrecognisable.</p> <p>6. <i>Brisbane/Ryan</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Brisbane</i> to <i>Ryan</i> west of Wardell St is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Brisbane</i> must lose enrolments, the more conducive outcome is to adjust the northern boundary with the division of <i>Lilley</i> utilising Kedron Brook as a continuous boundary.</p> <p>7. <i>Griffith</i>: The transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Griffith</i> to <i>Moreton</i> west of the Pacific Motorway to Cornwall St is supported.</p>

**LNP COMMENTS ON SUBMISSIONS**  
**REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND DIVISIONS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

NO.	SUBMISSION	COMMENT
		8. Southern Brisbane and Northern Gold Coast: The substantial adjustments to this region are unnecessary and dislocates established communities of interest across the region. The divisions of <i>Forde</i> , <i>Fadden</i> , <i>Wright</i> and <i>Rankin</i> effectively are unrecognisable and do not reflect the communities of interest in the area. It seems Submission 13 focused on meeting the numerical quota requirements at the expense of the community of interest criteria.
14	Mr & Mrs McAulay	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
15	Mr Bob Richardson	We acknowledge Mr Richardson's considerable contribution to the redistribution process. in Submission 15. This submission proposes an interesting proposition, but is not supported due the radical nature of its changes. In particular, the following proposals are strongly opposed: 1. The abolition of <i>Flynn</i> ; 2. <i>Riordan</i> stretches from Townsville south to Taroom; and 3. The inclusion of the cities of Rockhampton and Gladstone in the same division.
17	Mr Jason Bartels	This proposal to reunite the Moore Park community to the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit. The need to comply with quota requirements makes this difficult to achieve.
18	Hon Bob Katter MP	We acknowledge Mr Katter's considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 18. 1. The principle of minimising any geographic growth in size for the <i>Kennedy</i> division has strong merit. However, the undesirable outcome of this proposition in conjunction with the growth in enrolments in Cairns and Townsville is for <i>Kennedy</i> to incorporate significant part of both the major regional cities of Cairns and Townsville. 2. <i>Leichhardt/Kennedy</i> : The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division <i>Leichhardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> in the south Cairns area is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichhardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and its linked community of interest in Kuranda.
19	Queensland Greens	We acknowledge the Queensland Greens considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 19. This submission lacks substantive narrative description for the proposed boundaries, therefore, these comments are based on assumptions made from perusing the provided maps. Any change to existing division names is not supported. 1. <i>Leichhardt</i> : The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> in the south Cairns area are not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichhardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and the linked community of interest in Kuranda. 2. <i>Capricornia</i> : The proposed extension of the division of <i>Capricornia</i> , north to Charters Towers and the outskirts of Townsville to abut the division of <i>Herbert</i> , is not supported. There is an absence of community of interest, transport or communication linkages between Rockhampton with Townsville and Charters Towers. The result is incoherent and disconnected communities. 3. <i>Hinkler</i> : The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Wide Bay</i> to <i>Hinkler</i> from Burrum-Fraser/north of Maryborough are not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Hinkler</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is to adjust the northern boundary with the division of <i>Flynn</i> to include in <i>Hinkler</i> the Oakwood/Gooburrum area. 4. <i>Fisher</i> : The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Fairfax</i> to <i>Fisher</i> from the <i>Fairfax</i> hinterland is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fisher</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is for adjustments in the Mountain Creek and the Woodford-D'Aguilar areas. 5. Northern Brisbane: The substantial adjustments to this region are unnecessary and dislocates established communities of interest across the region. In particular, the following proposals are strongly opposed: a. The transfer of Brighton, Sandgate, Deagon and Shorncliffe from the division of <i>Lilley</i> to <i>Petrie</i> has been proposed and strongly objected to at previous redistributions by the local communities. This proposal, and its resulting impact on Aspley and Deception Bay, is unrealistic. b. <i>Ryan</i> moving north and west to take in the Samford Valley. c. <i>Dickson</i> moving north further into Kallangur. 6. Southern Brisbane: The substantial adjustments to this region are unnecessary and dislocates established communities of interest across the region. In particular, the division of <i>Griffith</i> gaining the Fairfield area from Moreton is strongly opposed:
20	Mr Sean Leader	Mr Leader's submission's proposals raise concerns. Excess enrolments in the <i>Fadden</i> division are better addressed between the divisions of <i>Forde</i> and <i>Fadden</i> .
21	Mr Mark Yore	We acknowledge Mr Yore's considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 21. 1. <i>McPherson/Moncrieff</i> : The proposal to transfer Burleigh Waters has merit, however it is unnecessary. 2. <i>Fadden/Forde</i> : The transfer of Oxenford from the division of <i>Forde</i> to <i>Fadden</i> has merit. 3. <i>Wright</i> : The transfers of Rosewood from the division of <i>Blair</i> and Lockyer West to <i>Groom</i> are not supported. 4. <i>Griffith</i> : The transfer of Mount Gravatt/Holland Park enrolments from the division of <i>Griffith</i> to <i>Bonner</i> is not supported. It is unnecessary and the required reduction of Griffith enrolments is better achieved by aligning the boundary with the Pacific Motorway south of Cornwall St, as outlined elsewhere in this submission. 5. <i>Oxley/Blair</i> : The proposal for transfers between these divisions have merit. 6. <i>Bonner/Petrie</i> : The transfer of Moreton Island from the division of <i>Bonner</i> to <i>Petrie</i> is supported on community of interest grounds. 7. <i>Lilley/Petrie</i> : The transfer of enrolments in the Fitzgibbon-Taigum SA2 has merit. 8. <i>Brisbane/Ryan/Lilley/Dickson</i> : The proposed "bridge" appears not to provide a contiguous boundary and therefore this option, at face value, it is unviable.

**LNP COMMENTS ON SUBMISSIONS**  
**REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND DIVISIONS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

NO.	SUBMISSION	COMMENT
		<p>9. <i>Fisher/Longman</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Longman</i> to <i>Blair</i> from the Woodford-D'Aguilar area is not supported. The more conducive outcome is for these enrolments to be utilised to augment the under-quota division of <i>Fisher</i>.</p> <p>10. <i>Fisher/Fairfax</i>: The transfer required to balance the quota requirements between the divisions of <i>Fairfax</i> and <i>Fisher</i> utilising the Sunshine Motorway as the boundary in the Mountain Creek area is supported.</p> <p>11. <i>Leichhardt</i>: The contraction of Leichhardt to be a Cairns City Council based division is supported with the exception that it should follow the Cairns City Council boundary and also include the connected hinterland community of Kuranda.</p> <p>12. <i>Maranoa/Kennedy</i>: The extension of the division of <i>Maranoa</i> from the NSW border to include Charters Towers, Hughenden, Richmond and Dalrymple brings disconnected communities. This proposal result in the unwelcome outcome of disparate and entirely unconnected communities placed in the same division.</p> <p>13. <i>Herbert</i>: The enrolments in the Townsville City Council area are in excess of one division's quota. This requires enrolments to be shared by at least two divisions. The removal of the division of <i>Dawson</i> from Townsville City has merit, but the consequential impacts and transferal of enrolments down the coast to the Sunshine Coast is unnecessary and makes this option unsupportable. The exclusion of Palm Island from <i>Herbert</i> and its natural Townsville community of interest is not supported.</p> <p>14. <i>Wide Bay/Hinkler</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from <i>Wide Bay</i> to <i>Hinkler</i> from Burrum-Fraser are not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Hinkler</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is to adjust the northern boundary with the division of <i>Flynn</i> to include in <i>Hinkler</i> the Oakwood/Gooburrum area.</p>
22	Australian Labor Party	<p>We acknowledge the Australian Labor Party considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 22.</p> <p>1. <i>Leichardt</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Leichhardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> in the south Cairns area are not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of Leichardt must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichhardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and the linked community of interest in Kuranda.</p> <p>2. <i>Capricornia</i>: The proposal has merit with the exception that Collinsville should be transferred to the division of <i>Kennedy</i>.</p> <p>3. <i>Wide Bay/Hinkler</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Wide Bay</i> to <i>Hinkler</i> from the north Maryborough area are not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Hinkler</i> must gain enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment of the northern boundary with the division of <i>Flynn</i> to include in <i>Hinkler</i> the Oakwood/Gooburrum area.</p> <p>4. <i>Wide Bay/Fairfax</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments at Doonan from the division of <i>Fairfax</i> to Wide Bay is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fairfax</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is to adjust the boundary in the Mountain Creek area.</p> <p>5. <i>Fisher/Longman</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments in the Woodford/D'Aguilar area from the division of <i>Longman</i> to <i>Fisher</i> is supported.</p> <p>6. <i>Dickson/Lilley</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments in the Bridgeman Downs area from the division of <i>Lilley</i> to <i>Dickson</i> is supported. It should be noted that this would result in the proposed <i>Dickson</i> exceeding the numerical quotas. Therefore the transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Dickson</i> to <i>Longman</i> in the Kallangur area is recommended.</p> <p>7. <i>Brisbane/Ryan</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments west of Wardell St from the division of <i>Brisbane</i> to <i>Ryan</i> is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of Brisbane must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is to adjust the northern boundary with the division of Lilley is to utilise Kedron Brook as a continuous boundary. In particular, the tongue resulting from the transfer of enrolments north of Samford Rd delivers an incongruous and unnatural boundary.</p> <p>8. <i>Griffith/Moreton/Bonner</i>: The transfer of Mount Gravatt/Murrarie enrolments between the division <i>Griffith</i> and <i>Bonner</i> is not supported. This is unnecessary as the required reduction of <i>Griffith</i> enrolments is better achieved by aligning the boundary with the Pacific Motorway south of Cornwall St, as outlined elsewhere in this submission.</p> <p>9. <i>Oxley</i>: Submission 22 does not address the requirement for the division of <i>Oxley</i> to gain enrolments in order to comply with the numerical quota requirements. This would be best addressed with a transfer in the <i>Oxley</i> suburb area on the border between the divisions of <i>Moreton</i> and <i>Oxley</i>.</p> <p>10. <i>Forde/Wright/Bowman</i>: Submission 22's introduction correctly states that, "the redistribution can be undertaken with limited changes to the Division boundaries established in 2009." The proposed substantially re-engineered of the division of <i>Forde</i> is unnecessary and conflicts with this Submission's stated objective and detrimentally impacts at least three adjacent divisions. It diverges from established communities of interest and creates an incongruous proposed boundary for the division of <i>Wright</i>. The transfer of Carbrook from the division of <i>Forde</i> to <i>Bowman</i> is unnecessary and against its community of interest.</p>
23.	Mr Dean Ashley	<p>We acknowledge Mr Ashley's considerable contribution in Submission 23.</p> <p>1. <i>Wide Bay/Fairfax/Fisher</i>: The proposed transfer of enrolments at Doonan from the division of <i>Fairfax</i> to <i>Wide Bay</i>, and the transfer of the Palmwood area to the division of <i>Fisher</i> are not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fairfax</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is to adjust the boundary in the Mountain Creek area between the division of <i>Fisher</i> and <i>Fairfax</i>.</p> <p>2. <i>Lilley/Brisbane/Ryan</i>: The proposal to utilise Kedron Brook as the boundary between the divisions of <i>Lilley</i> and <i>Brisbane</i> is supported. The boundary should continue unbroken along Kedron Brook and should include the enrolments east of Webster Rd. Utilising Kedron Brook continuously is a superior resolution than the proposal to transfer Gaythorne enrolments from <i>Brisbane</i> to <i>Ryan</i>.</p> <p>3. <i>Lilley/Petrie</i>: The transfer of enrolments in the Zillmere area from the division of <i>Lilley</i> to <i>Petrie</i> is not supported. It divides the Zillmere community of interest. In contrast to the submission's statement that this would deliver an "more coherent" boundary, the proposal delivers a substandard boundary as well as an impaired community outcome.</p>

**LNP COMMENTS ON SUBMISSIONS**  
**REDISTRIBUTION OF QUEENSLAND DIVISIONS OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

NO.	SUBMISSION	COMMENT
		4. <i>Petrie/Longman/Dickson</i> : Submission 23 states “the current northern border of <i>Petrie</i> along Burpengary Creek is arguably a stronger physical boundary than the locality boundary” for the divisions of <i>Petrie</i> and <i>Longman</i> . The conflicting proposed change to the northern <i>Petrie</i> boundary is opposed. This proposal also has the flow on impact of the unnecessary transfer of enrolments in the Kallangur area from the division of <i>Longman</i> to <i>Dickson</i> .
		5. <i>Oxley/Moreton</i> : The proposal for the transfer of enrolments in the Acacia Ridge area from the division of <i>Oxley</i> to <i>Moreton</i> is not supported. The requirement for the division <i>Oxley</i> to receive additional enrolments is best addressed with a transfer in the Oxley suburb area on the border between the divisions of <i>Moreton</i> and <i>Oxley</i> .
		6. <i>Fadden/Moncrieff</i> : The proposal for the transfer of enrolments between the division of <i>Fadden</i> and <i>Moncrieff</i> at Molendinar is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fadden</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is to adjust the <i>Forde-Fadden</i> boundary.
		7. <i>Maranoa</i> : The proposal for Crows Nest to be transferred from the division of <i>Maranoa</i> to <i>Groom</i> is supported. The extension of <i>Maranoa</i> from the NSW border to the Gulf of Carpentaria is strongly opposed as it brings disconnected communities together into incoherent and unrepresentative division.
		8. <i>Leichardt/Kennedy</i> : The proposed transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Leichardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> in the south Cairns area is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Leichardt</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and its linked community of interest in Kuranda. In addition, this submission has the unwelcome outcome of substantial enrolments from both Cairns and Townsville residing in the same division.
		9. <i>Capricornia</i> : Change to the existing division of <i>Flynn</i> and <i>Capricornia</i> boundary is not supported. Gracemere is a key service centre for the mining and agricultural industries in <i>Flynn</i> including major cattle and rail freight service hubs.
		10. <i>Hinkler</i> : The Submission’s proposal for the division of <i>Hinkler</i> has merit.
		11. <i>Flynn</i> : The Submissions proposal for the South Burnett to be reunited has merit, however this region has a greater synergy with the division of <i>Maranoa</i> .
24	Mrs Jane McNamara	This Submission has much merit.
25	Pirate Party	We acknowledge the Pirate Party’s considerable contribution to the redistribution process in Submission 25.
		1. <i>Fadden/Moncrieff</i> : The proposal for the transfer of enrolments between the division of <i>Fadden</i> and <i>Moncrieff</i> is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Fadden</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for the adjustment on the <i>Forde-Fadden</i> boundary.
		2. <i>Griffith</i> : The transfer of enrolments from the division of <i>Griffith</i> to <i>Moreton</i> west of the Pacific Motorway to Cornwall St is supported.
		3. <i>Moreton/Oxley</i> : This proposal has merit.
		4. <i>Longman/Fisher/Fairfax/Wide Bay</i> : The proposal to transfer Woodford to the division of <i>Fisher</i> is supported but not Elimbah and Wamuran enrolments, due to its flow on impacts on the division of <i>Fairfax</i> and <i>Wide Bay</i> .
		5. <i>Petrie/Longman/Dickson/Lilley</i> : This proposal relies on the transfer of Brighton/Sandgate/Shorncliffe enrolments from the division of <i>Lilley</i> to <i>Petrie</i> . As this community has strongly expressed, and has been acknowledged during previous redistributions, this is not in the community’s interest. The proposals for these divisions are not supported.
		6. <i>Brisbane</i> : The utilisation of Kedron Brook as the northern boundary of the division of <i>Brisbane</i> is supported.
		7. <i>Hinkler</i> : The transfer of Oakwood/Gooburrum from the division of <i>Flynn</i> to <i>Hinkler</i> is supported. The inclusion of Takura, Walligan and Fraser Island in the division of <i>Hinkler</i> is not supported including by stakeholders.
		8. <i>Leichardt/Kennedy</i> : The proposed transfer of enrolments in the south Cairns area from the division of <i>Leichardt</i> to <i>Kennedy</i> is not supported. While it is acknowledged that the division of <i>Leichardt</i> must lose enrolments the more conducive outcome is for <i>Leichardt</i> to contract to the Cairns City Council boundary and its linked community of interest in Kuranda.
		9. <i>Herbert/Dawson</i> : The proposal for the transfer of enrolments in Douglas, Mt Stuart and Murray to the division of <i>Dawson</i> is supported.
		10. <i>Capricornia</i> : The proposal for the extension of the division of <i>Capricornia</i> into the south of Mackay is supported, however the extension to take in West Mackay is not supported.