



Comment on objection 1

Ron and Annette Rowton

1 page

To Whom It May Concern

To; Australian Electoral Commission

From; Ron and Annette Rowton, [REDACTED],

Re; Comments on Objections Lodged.

As the authors of Objection 19, we wish to make a brief comment on objection 22 lodged by WA Labor.

Within Objection 22, WA Labor raised an objection to the proposed boundary between the electorates of Burt and Canning concerning the suburbs of Martin and Orange Grove. They argue that the majority of these suburbs, i.e. that east of Tonkin Highway, should remain within the electorate of Canning.

We wish to highlight that this objection is very consistent with our Objection 19 in which we argued that our part of Martin on top of the escarpment should remain within the electorate of Canning and if a road boundary is required use Tonkin Highway. WA Labor have proposed Tonkin Highway as the boundary and also included the suburb of Orange Grove.

The reasons advanced by WA Labor are also much the same as those put forward in our Objection 19.

We wish to advise that we are prepared to accept the proposed boundary between Burt and Canning as advanced by WA Labor in Objection 22 as it would result in our part of Martin remaining within the electorate of Canning as we requested in Objection 19.

Finally, we have made enquiries and are advised that the WA Liberal party have /will lodge comments also supporting and accepting that boundary proposal between Burt and Canning as outlined in Objection 22.

We thank you for the opportunity to lodge both Objections and further Comment and we sincerely hope that the level of consensus that appears to exist means that our part of the suburb of Martin, at least, will remain in the electorate of Canning.