

LS4856 FOI REQUEST NO. LS4856

Refined

a copy of all correspondence between the AEC and candidates (including their representatives and parties) regarding election and voting recounts in the election for Senators for Western Australian in the 2013 Federal Election

RELEASED DOCUMENTS

Notes about time

Note that emails in some threads refer to listed documents by reference to a different time of day due to the time zone difference between Australian Eastern Standard Time and Australian Western Standard Time.

There is a variation in the time of some documents where an Outlook file has been converted to an Acrobat file.

Document No.	Pages	Description	Date	Recommendation/Decision
1	2	<p>Letter from Omar Todd, Deputy Chairman WA WikiLeaks Party, Deputy Registered Officer re <i>WA Senate Vote Recount</i></p> <p>Attachment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Email dated 2/11/2013 9:15 AM from G Georgatos to T O'Shaughnessy, P Kramer	27/08/2012	<p>The letter in Document No. 1 is signed by Mr Omar Todd. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 1 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/>

				I decide to refuse access to Document No. 1 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Todd is redacted.
2	2	Letter from Senator Scott Ludlam to Peter Kramer, Australian Electoral Officer, WA Attachment to Document No. 6	2/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 2 is signed by Senator Ludlam. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 2 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 2 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Senator Ludlam is redacted.</p>
3	2	Letter from Peter Burge, HopgoodGanim to Paul Pirani, Chief Legal Officer, re <i>Palmer United Party – Concerns about ballot papers cast for election of Senators for the State of Western Australia</i>	2/10/2013	<p>Document No. 3 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document</p>

				No. 3.
4	1	Email from Wayne Dropulich to Peter Kramer re <i>WA Senate</i>	2/10/2013 2:36 PM	<p>Document No. 4 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 4.</p>
5	1	Email from B Barlow to unnamed recipients (candidates) re <i>Deferral of WA Senate Declaration</i> ^f	2/10/2013 4:03 PM	<p>Document No. 5 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 5.</p>
6		Email from Peter Burge to Paul Pirani, Ed Killesteyn re <i>Palmer United Party – Election of Senators for Western Australia</i>	2/10/2013 8:07 PM	<p>Document No. 6 is marked as a confidential communication. However the substance of the correspondence in Document No. 6 to enclose Document No 3 which contains no confidential information. It follows that Document No. 6 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 6.</p>
7	4	Letter from Senator Scott Ludlam to Peter Kramer, Australian Electoral Officer WA re <i>Further information in support of a request for recount</i>	3/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 7 is signed by Senator Ludlam. A signature is personal information about the person signing.</p>

				<p>Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 7 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 7 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Senator Ludlam is redacted.</p>
8	1	Letter from Senator Scott Ludlam to Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commissioner re <i>Result of the 2013 election of Senators for Western Australia</i>	3/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 8 is signed by Senator Ludlam. A signature is personal information about the person signing.</p> <p>Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 8 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/>

				I decide to refuse access to Document No. 8 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Senator Ludlam is redacted.
9	111	AnalysysToSupportRecount_SR_v2.xlsx Attachment to Document No. 11.		Document No. 9 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 9.
10		Letter from Senator Scott Ludlam to Peter Kramer, Australian Electoral Officer re <i>Further information in support of a request for a recount</i> Attachment to Document No. 11.	3/10/2013	The letter in Document No. 10 is signed by Senator Ludlam. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature. It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 10 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted. <hr/> I decide to refuse access to Document No. 10 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Senator

				Ludlam is redacted.
11		<p>Email from S Ludlam to Peter Kramer re <i>supplementary information pertaining to request for recount</i>;</p> <p>Attachments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ AnalysisToSupportRecount_SR_v2.xlsx; ▪ Recount supplementary information.pdf. 	3/10/2013 9:36 AM	<p>Document No. 9 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 9.</p>
12	3	<p>Email from Paul Pirani, Chief Legal Officer to Peter Burge, Ed Killesten re <i>Palmer United Party – Election of Senators for Western Australia</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Email dated 2/10/2013 8:07 PM from Peter Burge to Paul Pirani, Ed Killesteyn re <i>Palmer United Party – Election of Senators for Western Australia</i> <p>See Document No. 6.</p>	3/10/2013 10:10:04 AM	<p>Document No. 12 does not contain exempt material. The email included in the thread (Document No. 6) is marked confidential but contains no confidential information: see the discussion of Document No. 6 above.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 12.</p>
13	1	Email from Paul Throssell to Ed Killesteyn re <i>re-count WA senate seats</i>	3/10/2013 10:59 AM	<p>The letter in Document No. 13 contains the email address of Mr Throssell. An email address is personal information about the addressee. Considerations of the risk of harrassment make it unreasonable to release an email address.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 13</p>

				<p>from which exempt material, namely the email address is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 13 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the email address of Mr Throssell is redacted.</p>
14	1	<p>Email from Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commissioner to Paul Throssell re <i>RE: re-count WA senate seats</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 3/10/2013 10:59 AM from Paul Throssell to Ed Killesteyn re <i>re-count WA senate seats</i> <p>See Document No. 13.</p>	<p>3/10/2013 11:39 AM</p>	<p>The letter in Document No. 13 contains the email addresses of the Hon Peter Heerey AM, QC and Mr Throssell. An email address is personal information about the addressee. Considerations of the risk of harrassment make it unreasonable to release his email address.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 13 from which exempt material, namely the email addressesare redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 13 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the email addresses of the Hon Peter Heerey AM, QC and Mr Throssell are redacted.</p>

15	2	Email from Peter Kramer to Scott Ludlam re <i>FW: Final – Ludlam</i>	3/10/2013 3:05 PM	<p>Document No. 15 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 15.</p>
16	2	Email from Peter Kramer to Wayne Dropulich re <i>FW: Final – Ludlam</i>	3/10/2013 3:06 PM	<p>The letter in Document No. 16 contains the email address of Mr Dropulich. An email address is personal information about the addressee. Considerations of the risk of harrassment make it unreasonable to release an email address.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 16 from which exempt material, namely the email address is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 16 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the email address of Mr Dropulich is redacted</p>
17	1	Email from B Barlow to unnamed recipients (candidates) re <i>Decision on WA Senate Recount Requests</i>	3/10/2013 3:09 PM	

18		<p>Letter from Senator Scott Ludlam to Peter Kramer, Australian Electoral Officer.</p> <p>This is an attachment to Document No. 19.</p>	3/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 18 is signed by Senator Ludlam. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 18 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 18 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Senator Ludlam is redacted.</p>
19		<p>Email from Senator Scott Ludlam to Peter Kramer Re <i>Final – Ludlam</i></p> <p>Attachment: Acrobat file <i>request for extension of time.pdf</i>: see Document No. 18</p>	3/10/2013 6:15 PM	<p>Document No. 19 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 19.</p>
20	1	<p>Letter from Senator Scott Ludlam to Ed Killesteyn re <i>Supplementary information; result of the 2013 election of Senators for Western Australia</i></p>	4/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 20 is signed by Senator Ludlam. A signature is personal information about the person signing.</p>

				<p>Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 20 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 20 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Senator Ludlam is redacted.</p>
21	2	Letter from Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim to Paul Pirani, Chief Legal Officer re <i>Palmer United Party – Concerns about ballot papers cast for the election of Senator for the State of Western Australia</i>	4/10/2013	<p>Document No. 21 does not contain exempt material. The letter is signed in the firm's name. This is not personal information.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 21.</p>
22	1	Email dated from B Barlow to unnamed recipients (candidates) re <i>Postponement of WA Senate Declaration</i>	4/10/2013 8:48 AM	<p>Document No. 22 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 22.</p>

23		Email from Wayne Dropulich to Ed Killesteyn re <i>WA Count</i>	4/10/2013 10:13 AM	<p>The letter in Document No. 23 contains the email address of Mr Dropulich. An email address is personal information about the addressee. Considerations of the risk of harrassment make it unreasonable to release an email address.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 23 from which exempt material, namely the email address is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 23 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the email address of Mr Dropulich is redacted</p>
24	2	<p>Email from Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commissioner to Wayne Dropulich re <i>WA Senate</i> with email thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 4 October 2013 10:13 AM from Wayne Dropulich to Ed Killesteyn re <i>WA Count</i> 	4/10/2013 11:26 AM	<p>Document No. 24 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 24.</p>
25		Email from Paul Pirani to Peter Burge, HopgoodGanim re <i>Re-count requests for the election of Senators in WA</i>	4/10/2013 3:31 PM	<p>Document No. 25 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document</p>

				No. 25.
26	1	Letter from Mark Cox, MDC Legal to Mr Peter Kramer, Australian Electoral Officer re <i>Senator Scott Ludlam; Result of the 2013 election of Senators for Western Australia</i>	6/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 26 is signed by Mark Cox. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 26 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 26 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Cox is redacted.</p>
27		<p>Letter from Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commissioner to Senator Louise Pratt re <i>Senate Election Western Australian Electoral Commission</i></p> <p>This is an attachment to the email in Document No. 28.</p>	8/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 27 is signed by Ed Killesteyn. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an</p>

				<p>edited version of Document No. 27 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 27 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Killesteyn is redacted.</p>
28		<p>Email from Peter Kramer to Senator Louise Pratt re <i>FW: Letter to Senator Pratt</i></p> <p>Attachment: see Document No. 27.</p>	8/10/2013 2:48 PM	<p>Document No. 28 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 28.</p>
29	8	<p>Letter from Peter Burge, Special Counsel, HopgoodGanim to Ed Killesteyn re <i>Submissions on behalf of the Palmer United Party, Mr Zhenya Wand and Ms Charmonix Terblanche regarding appeal from the decision of the Australian Electoral Officer (WA) not to conduct a recount of the ballot papers cast for the election of Senators for the State of Western Australian</i></p> <p>Document No. 36 replies to this letter.</p>	8/10/2013	<p>Document No. 29 does not contain exempt material. The letter is signed in the firm's name. This is not personal information.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 29.</p>
30	1	<p>Letter (sent by email) from Simon Millman, Practice Group Leader, Industrial and Employment Law, Slater & Gordon to Ed</p>	9/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 30 is signed by Simon Millman. A signature is personal information</p>

		Killesteyn PSM re <i>Senate Election Western Australia</i>		<p>about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 30 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 30 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Millman is redacted.</p>
31		Email from Peter Kramer to Senator Scott Ludlam re our conversation	9/10/2013 10:00 AM	<p>Document No. 31 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 31.</p>
32		Email from Katherine Lamont, Slater & Gordon Lawyers to Ed Killesteyn re <i>FW: Re-count request for the election of Senators in WA</i>	9/10/2013 12:05 PM	<p>Document No. 32 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 32.</p>

33		Email from Patricia Georgee to Katherine Lamont, Slater & Gordon re <i>Your correspondence by email dated 9 October in the matter of Senate Election Western Australia</i>	9/10/2013 12:50 AM	Document No. 33 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 33.
34		Email from Patricia Georgee to Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim re: <i>Correspondence received by the Electoral Commissioner by email dated 9 October in the matter of Senate Election Western Australia</i>	9/10/2013 1:10 PM	Document No. 34 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 34.
35		Letter from Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commissioner to Mr Wayne Dropulich	10/10/2013	The letter in Document No. 35 is signed by Ed Killesteyn. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature. It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 35 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted. <hr/> I decide to refuse access to Document No. 35 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from

				which the signature of Mr Killesteyn is redacted.
36		Letter from Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commissioner to Senator Scott Ludlam	10/12/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 36 is signed by Ed Killesteyn. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 36 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 36 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Killesteyn is redacted.</p>
37		<p>Letter from Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commissioner to Senator Louise Pratt</p> <p>This is the attachment to Document No. 42.</p>	?/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 37 is signed by Ed Killesteyn. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of</p>

				<p>the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 37 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 37 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Killesteyn is redacted.</p>
38	2	<p>Letter from Ed Killesteyn, Electoral Commission to Mr Zhenya Wang, Palmer United Party sent by email</p> <p>This is a reply to Document No. 29.</p>	10/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 38 is signed by Ed Killesteyn. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 38 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 38 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Killesteyn</p>

				is redacted.
39		Letter from Mark Cox, MDC Legal to Ed Killesteyn re <i>Senator Scott Ludlam; Result of the 2013 election of Senators for Western Australia</i>	10/10/2013	<p>The letter in Document No. 39 is signed by Mark Cox. A signature is personal information about the person signing. Considerations of the risk of identity theft make it unreasonable to release a facsimile of his signature.</p> <p>It is open to you under section 22 of the FOI Act to offer to release an edited version of Document No. 39 from which exempt material, namely the facsimile of the signature is redacted.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to refuse access to Document No. 39 and to offer in lieu to release an edited version from which the signature of Mr Cox is redacted.</p>
40		<p>Email from Patricia Georgee to Mark Cox, MDC Legal, Senator Scott Ludlam re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner to Senator Scott Ludlam</i></p> <p>Attachment: Acrobat file 20131010-LT AEC-LUD013137.pdf: see Document No. 39.</p>	10/10/2013 8:44 AM	<p>Document No. 40 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 40.</p>
41		Email from Patricia Georgee to Mr Dropulich re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral</i>	10/10/2013	Document No. 41 does not contain

		<p><i>Commissioner</i></p> <p>Attachment: see Document No. 35</p>	8:49 AM	<p>exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 41.</p>
42		<p>Email from Patricia Georgee to Katherine Lamont, Slater & Gordon re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner</i></p> <p>Attachment: see Document No. 37</p>	10/10/2013 8:52 AM	<p>Document No. 42 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 42.</p>
43		Email from Brendon Barlow to unnamed recipients (candidates) re Australian Electoral Commission statement: Senate recount in Western Australia	10/10/2013 9:12 AM	
44		Email from Patricia Georgee to Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner</i>	10/10/2013 11:55 AM	<p>Document No. 44 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 44.</p>
45		<p>Email AM from Paul Pirani to Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner</i>;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 1:09 PM from Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim to Patricia Georgee re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner</i>; <p>See Document No. 46.</p>	10/10/2013 11:57 AM	Document No. 45 does not contain exempt material. The email in the thread (Document No. 46) is marked confidential. However nothing in its contents justifies treating it as confidential: see the discussion of Document No. 46 below.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 10:55 AM from Patricia Georgee to Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner</i> <p>See Document No. 44.</p>		<hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 45.</p>
46		<p>Email from Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim to Patricia Georgee re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 10:55 AM from Patricia Georgee to Peter Burge, Hopgood Ganim re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner</i> 	10/10/2013 1:09 PM	<p>Document No. 46 is marked as a confidential communication. However the substance of the correspondence in Document No. 46 is a demand for an undertaking to be given by the AEC. It is neither an offer to compromise a legal claim nor correspondence in relation to such an offer. Document No. 46 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 46.</p>
47		<p>Email from from Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam to Brendon Barlow re <i>recount details</i></p>	10/10/2013 1:12 PM	<p>Document No. 47 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 47.</p>
48		<p>Email from Brendon Barlow to Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam re <i>RE: recount details</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 1:12 PM from from Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam to 	10/10/2013 1:17 PM	<p>Document No. 48 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 48.</p>

		Brendon Barlow re <i>recount details</i> See Document No. 47.		
49		Email from Mark Cox, MDC Legal to Patricia Georgee, Ed Killesteyn re <i>FW: Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner to Senator Scott Ludlam</i> with thread including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 8:44 AM from Patricia Georgee to Mark Cox, MDC Legal re <i>Correspondence from the Electoral Commissioner to Senator Scott Ludlam</i> See Document No. 40.	10/10/2013 9:51 PM	Document No. 49 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 49.
50	4	Email from Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam to Brendon Barlow re <i>RE: recount details</i> with thread including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 1:17 PM from Brendon Barlow to Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam re <i>RE: recount details</i>; See Document No. 48. Email dated 10/10/2013 1:12 PM from from Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam to Brendon Barlow re <i>recount details</i> See Document No. 47. 	11/10/2013 1:34 PM	Document No. 50 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 50.
51	4	Email from Peter Kramer to Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam re <i>RE: recount details</i> ; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email 11/10/2013 1:34 PM from Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam to Brendon Barlow re <i>RE: recount details</i>; 	11/10/2013 1:53 PM	Document No. 51 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 51.

		<p>See Document No.50.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 1:17 PM from Brendon Barlow to Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam re <i>RE: recount details</i>; <p>See Document No. 48.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 10/10/2013 1:12 PM from from Rachel Pemberton, Office of Senator Scott Ludlam to Brendon Barlow re <i>recount details</i> <p>See Document No. 47.</p>		
52	2	Email from B Barlow to unnamed recipients (candidates) re WA Senate Recount Arrangements Advice	11/10/2013 3:30 PM	<p>Document No. 52 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 52.</p>
53		Email from Irma Lachmund, WA Greens to Peter Kramer, Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>FW: Scrutineer potential conflict of interest</i>	14/10/2013 1:22 PM	<p>Document No. 53 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 53.</p>
54	2	Email from Peter Kramer to Irma Lachmund, WA Greens re <i>RE: Scrutineer potential conflict of interest</i>	14/10/2013 4:07 PM	
55	4	<p>Email from Irma Lachmund, WA Greens to Peter Kramer Greens re <i>RE: Scrutineer potential conflict of interest</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 14/10/2013 1:22 PM from Irma 	15/10/2013 10:47 AM	<p>Document No. 55 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/>

		<p>Lachmund, WA Greens to Peter Kramer, Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>FW: Scrutineer potential conflict of interest</i></p> <p>See Document No. 51.</p> <p>This is annotated in red by Peter Kramer.</p>		<p>I decide to release in full Document No. 55.</p>
56	4	<p>Email from Peter Kramer to Irma Lachmund, WA Greens re <i>RE: Scrutineer potential conflict of interest</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 15/10/2013 10:47 AM from Irma Lachmund, WA Greens to Peter Kramer Greens re <i>RE: Scrutineer potential conflict of interest</i>; <p>See Document No. 55.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 14/10/2013 1:22 PM from Irma Lachmund, WA Greens to Peter Kramer, Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>FW: Scrutineer potential conflict of interest</i> <p>See Document No. 51.</p> <p>This is annotated in red by Peter Kramer.</p>	<p>15/10/2013 6:10 PM</p>	<p>Document No. 56 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 56.</p>
57	3	<p>Letter from P Burge, HopgoodGanim to Paul Pirani, Chief Legal Officer, re <i>Palmer United Party – Recount of the ballot papers cast for the election of Senators for the State of Western Australia</i></p>	<p>30/10/2013</p>	<p>Document No. 57 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 57.</p>
58	2	<p>Email from Peter Burge, HopgoodGanim to Ed Killesteyn re <i>Palmer United Party - Concerns about lost ballot paper s ballot papers cast for the election of Senator for the State of Western</i></p>	<p>31/10/2013 2:37 PM</p>	<p>Document No. 58 is marked as a confidential communication. However the substance of the correspondence in Document No. 45 is a demand recount in the election</p>

		<i>Australia</i>		<p>of Senators for WA by the AEC. It is neither an offer to compromise a legal claim nor correspondence in relation to such an offer. Document No. 45 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 45.</p>
59	2	Email from B Barlow to unnamed recipients (candidates) re WA Senate Recount Arrangements Advice	31/10/2013 3:30 PM	<p>Document No. 59 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 59.</p>
60	2	Email from T O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients (candidates) re <i>WA Senate recount</i>	31/10/2013 3:55 PM	<p>Document No. 60 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 60</p>
61	2	Email from Peter Burge, HopgoodGanim to Ed Killesteyn re <i>FW Palmer United Party – Concerns about lost ballot papers cast for the election of Senators for the State of Western Australia</i>	31/10/2013 4:44 PM	<p>Document No. 61 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 61.</p>
62	2	Email from Tim O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients re <i>WA Recount</i>	31/10/2013 6:55 PM	<p>Document No. 62 does not contain exempt material.</p>

				<hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 62.
63	2	Email from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> with email thread including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email received 31 October 2013 6:54 PM from Tim O'Shaughnessy to [blind copied] re <i>WA Recount</i> See Document No. 62.	1/11/2013 8:17 AM	Document No. 63 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 63.
64	1	Email from T O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients (stakeholders) re <i>WA Senate recount</i>	1/11/2013 3:06 PM	Document No. 64 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 64.
65	2	Email from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> with email thread including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 1/11/2013 received 1/11/2013 5:53 PM from Tim O'Shaughnessy to Gerry Georgatos re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i>; See Document No. 66 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 1 November 2013 8:17 AM from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> 	1/11/2013 3:12 PM	Document No. 65 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 65.

		<p>See Document No. 63.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 31 October 2013 6:54 AM from Time O'Shaughnessy to [blind copied] re <i>WA Senate Recount</i>. 		
66		<p>Email from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 1/11/2013 8:17 AM from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy to Gerry Georgatos re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> <p>See Document No. 63.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 31/10/2013 received 31/10/2013 6:54 PM from Tim O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients re <i>WA Senate Recount</i>. 	1/11/2013 5:54 PM	<p>Document No. 66 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 66.</p>
67		<p>Email from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>RE: Formal complaint - Gerry Georgatos - Re: WA Senate Recount</i> with thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email received 1/11/2013 5:53 PM from T O'Shaughnessy to G Georgatos re <i>RE: Formal complaint - Gerry Georgatos - Re: WA Senate Recount</i> <p>Cf. Document No.66.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 1/11/2013 8:17 AM from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy to Gerry Georgatos re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> <p>Cf. Document No. 63.</p>	1/11/2013 6:12 PM	<p>Document No. 67 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 67.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 31/10/2013 received 31/10/2013 6:54 PM from Tim O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients re <i>WA Senate Recount</i>. <p>Cf Document No. 55.</p>		
68	2	<p>Email from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> with email thread including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email received 1/11/2013 6:11 PM from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> <p>Cf. Document No 67</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email received 1/11/2013 5:53 PM from T O'Shaughnessy tp G Georgatos re re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> <p>Cf. Document No. 63.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 1/11/2013 8:17 AM from G Georgatos to T O Shaughnessy re re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> <p>Cf. Document No. 63</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email received , 31 October, 2013, 6:54 PM from Tim O'Shaughnessy to Gerry Georgatos re re <i>Formal complaint – Gerry Georgatos – Re WA Senate Recount</i> <p>Cf. Document No. 62..</p>	2/11/2013 6:15 AM	<p>Document No. 68 does not contain exempt material.</p> <hr/> <p>I decide to release in full Document No. 68.</p>
69	1	<p>Email dated 3/11/2013 11:51 AM from T O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients (candidates) re <i>WA Senate – Declaration of Poll</i></p>	3/11/2013 11:51 AM	<p>Document No. 69 does not contain exempt material.</p>

				<hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 69.
70	1	Email from Omar Todd, Deputy Chairman and National Council Director, Wikileaks Party to Peter Kramer, Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>Official complaint from the WikiLeaks Party</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attachment AECCComplaintv 3.0.pdf; Untitled attachment 00004.txt; signature asc. See Document No. 1. Attachment <i>Untitled attachment 00020.txt</i> This is a blank document. Attachment signature.asc This cannot be opened. 	3/11/2013 1:37 PM	Document No. 70 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 70.
71		Email from Tim O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients re <i>WA Senate Declaration of Poll</i>	3/11/2013 2:51 PM	Document No. 71 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 71.
72		Email from Gerry Georgatos to Tim O'Shaughnessy re <i>Re: WA Senate - Declaration of Poll</i> with thread including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 3/11/2013 received 3/11/2013 2:50 PM from Tim O'Shaughnessy to unnamed recipients re <i>WA Senate - Declaration of Poll</i>; See Document No. 71. 	3/11/2013 2:57 PM	Document No. 72 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 72.

73		Email from Anthony Fels to Peter Kramer re <i>Declaration of Senators for Western Australia</i>	4/11/ 2013 11:57 AM	Document No. 73 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 73.
74	2	Email from Peter Kramer to Anthony Fels, Senate Candidate for the Katter Party re <i>Declaration of Senators for Western Australia</i> with email thread including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Email dated 4/11/ 2013 11:54 AM from Anthony Fels to Peter Kramer re <i>Declaration of Senators for Western Australia</i> See Document No. 73.	4/11/2013 1:03 PM	Document No. 74 does not contain exempt material. <hr/> I decide to release in full Document No. 74.

End¹

¹ T:\Legal\Legal Services\Legal Matters\FOI\LS4856 FOI Request By Julian O'Shea 2014 Q2\Released Documents\LS4856 Schedule Of Released Documents.Docx