



Comment on objection 13

Phil Gardner

2 pages

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 22 May 2018 8:44 AM
To: FedRedistribution - SA
Subject: [SA REDISTRIBUTION COMMENTS ON OBJECTIONS] Phil Gardner *WWW*
[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Attachments: vic-Phil Gardner-.pdf

South Australian Redistribution comment on objections uploaded from the AEC website.

Name: Phil Gardner

Organisation:

Address: ,

Phone number: [REDACTED]

Additional information: Comment on ALP objections to Boothby changes

To whom it may concern,

I'm commenting on the ALP response to the objections that were lodged against the proposed removal of Flagstaff Hill from Boothby and placed into Kingston.

I feel that the comments the ALP made against the objections was extremely dismissive of the feelings of the objectors, especially this one and is clearly a plan on their part to change the demographic of Boothby to their own advantage. It is clear that the ALP want to move conservative voting suburbs into Kingston to negate the votes as there is a strong ALP majority in Kingston which this proposal would not change. Their own suggestion is also clearly another example of them trying to move suburban who prefer voting ALP into Boothby.

I think that political parties of all flavours should have absolutely no influence over electoral boundary changes as they have a vested interest in pushing changes to their own advantage. Electorates are made up of voters and it is clear from the number of objections that the move is against the voters wishes.

Kind regards

RP Gardner